

hep-th/0110121
October, 2001

Exceptional String: Instanton Expansions and Seiberg–Witten Curve

Kenji Mohri *

*Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba
Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan*

Abstract

We investigate instanton expansions of partition functions of several toric E-string models using local mirror symmetry and elliptic modular forms. We also develop a method to determine the Seiberg–Witten curve of E-string with the help of elliptic functions.

* *E-mail address:* mohri@het.ph.tsukuba.ac.jp

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	E-String	3
2.1	Homology lattice and affine root lattice	3
2.2	Partition functions	6
3	Four Torus Models	10
3.1	Periods of elliptic curves	10
3.2	Modular identities	13
4	Model Building of E-Strings	14
4.1	Principal series	14
4.2	E_0 and $E_{\bar{1}}$ models	15
4.3	Kähler classes	16
4.4	Periods	17
4.5	Relation to Seiberg–Witten periods	21
5	Genus Zero Partition Functions	23
5.1	Recursion relations	23
5.2	Instanton expansion	26
5.3	Partition functions and modular forms	28
5.4	Modular anomaly equation	30
5.5	Rational instanton numbers	30
6	Genus One Partition Functions	34
6.1	Genus one potentials	34
6.2	Modular anomaly equation	38
6.3	Elliptic instanton numbers	38
7	Higher Genus Partition Functions	42
7.1	Partition functions as modular forms	42

7.2	Gopakumar–Vafa invariants	46
7.3	Partition functions as Jacobi forms	51
8	Seiberg–Witten Curve	54
8.1	Periods of rational elliptic surfaces	54
8.2	Wilson lines	58
9	Inverse Problem	59
9.1	General strategy	59
9.2	Several models with a few Wilson lines	62
	Appendix A	66

1 Introduction

Exceptional string (E-string in short) has originally been discovered as the effective six dimensional (6D) theory associated with a small $\mathbf{E}_8$ instanton in heterotic string [11]. It has become clear since then that physical content of the toroidal compactification of E-string down to 4D is extremely rich [10, 12, 23, 24, 29, 27, 18].

4D E-string theory can be realized as type IIA string “compactified” on the canonical line bundle of a rational elliptic surface B_9 . However we must rely on mirror symmetry for any quantitative analysis. In fact, we have two versions of mirror symmetry. One is local mirror symmetry [6] applied to B_9 , which must be realized torically [16]. At the expense of the restriction on Kähler moduli, this method enables us to investigate systematically the BPS spectrum even at higher genera [18]. The other describes E-string by the Seiberg–Witten curve [10, 12] based on the fact that B_9 is self-mirror; the E-string is mapped to type IIB string on a non-compact Calabi–Yau manifold containing a rational elliptic surface S_9 [27], the complex moduli of which replaces the Kähler moduli of B_9 . The purpose of this paper is then to explore further these two descriptions of E-string.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we collect general results on the Kähler moduli parameters and the partition functions of E-string. The remaining sections are divided into two parts. The first part consists of sections 3–7, where we investigate the

six toric E-string models by means of local mirror symmetry; in section 3, we study the four torus models associated with the E-string models, where the relation between the periods and elliptic modular forms is the central problem, in section 4 we analyze the Picard–Fuchs system of the E-string models; we then investigate the partition functions of the E-string models of genus zero, one in sections 5, 6 respectively; finally in section 7, partition functions of higher genera are considered in connection with elliptic modular forms, Gopakumar–Vafa invariants and E_8 Jacobi forms.

The second part deals with the Seiberg–Witten curve of E-string; after a review of the period map of rational elliptic surface in section 8, we obtain a procedure to determine the Seiberg–Witten curve for given Wilson lines using elliptic functions in section 9.

2 E-String

2.1 Homology lattice and affine root lattice

Let us first consider type IIA string compactified on a Calabi–Yau threefold which contains a rational elliptic surface B_9 with a section [30] as a divisor, where we use the symbol B_N for E_N del Pezzo surface; a rational elliptic surface is alternatively called an almost E_9 del Pezzo surface.

The resulting 4D theory is a $N=2$ supergravity. The large radius limit of the normal direction to B_9 then kills almost all the degrees of freedom of the original Calabi–Yau threefold; effectively we are left with K_{B_9} , the canonical line bundle of B_9 , as a compactification manifold, and the 4D theory reduces to a E-string theory which does not contain gravity. We are interested in the physical quantities of the E-string that depend only on the complexified Kähler class $J \in H_2(B_9; \mathbf{C})$ of B_9 inherited from the Calabi–Yau threefold. It should be noted that we can take the complex structure of B_9 to be generic, that is, we can assume that the elliptic fibration $\pi: B_9 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ has the twelve singular fibers of the I_1 type.

We recall here some properties of the second homology classes $H_2(B_9)$. The lattice

structure in $H_2(B_9)$ induced by intersection parings is given by

$$H_2(B_9) = \mathbf{Z}l \oplus \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}_9, \quad (2.1)$$

$$l \cdot l = 1, \quad l \cdot \mathcal{E}_i = 0, \quad \mathcal{E}_i \cdot \mathcal{E}_j = -\delta_{ij}. \quad (2.2)$$

B_9 is realized as a blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^2$ at the nine points which are the base points of a cubic pencil. The class l is given by the total transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^2$, while $\mathcal{E}_i$ the exceptional divisor associated with the i th base point. The first Chern class $c_1(B_9)$ is represented by the fiber class $[\delta]$ of the elliptic fibration $\pi: B_9 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, which can be written in terms of the generators (2.2) as $[\delta] = 3l - \mathcal{E}_1 - \cdots - \mathcal{E}_9$. It is readily verified that $[\delta] \cdot l = 3$, $[\delta] \cdot \mathcal{E}_i = 1$, and $[\delta] \cdot [\delta] = 0$.

The sublattice $[\delta]^\perp$ of $H_2(B_9)$, which is the orthogonal complement of $[\delta]$ in $H_2(B_9)$, is naturally identified with the root lattice of the affine Lie algebra $\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}$: $L(\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^8 \mathbf{Z}\alpha_i$, where $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^8$ is the simple roots of $\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}$. This can be seen as follows. First we find the generators of $[\delta]^\perp$ as a free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module

$$[\delta]^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=0}^8 \mathbf{Z}[\alpha_i], \quad H_2(B_9) \cong [\delta]^\perp \oplus \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}_9, \quad (2.3)$$

$$[\alpha_0] = \mathcal{E}_8 - \mathcal{E}_9, \quad [\alpha_i] = \mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 7, \quad [\alpha_8] = l - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3.$$

Then we see that the intersection pairings $([\alpha_i] \cdot [\alpha_j])$ coincides with the minus of the Cartan matrix of $\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}$. Note that the element of $L(\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)})$ corresponding to $[\delta] \in [\delta]^\perp$ is

$$[\delta] = [\alpha_0] + 2[\alpha_1] + 4[\alpha_2] + 6[\alpha_3] + 5[\alpha_4] + 4[\alpha_5] + 3[\alpha_6] + 2[\alpha_7] + 3[\alpha_8],$$

which is in accord with the standard notation of the affine Lie algebra; $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$, with θ the highest root of $\mathbf{E}_8$.

Let $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^8$ be the fundamental weights of $\mathbf{E}_8$. Then $(\omega_i | \alpha_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ and $\theta = \omega_7$. We denote the corresponding elements of $[\delta]^\perp$ by $\{[\omega_i]\}_{i=1}^8$, which satisfy $[\omega_i] \cdot [\alpha_j] = -\delta_{i,j}$.

The dual of the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}$, which we denote by $\mathfrak{h}^*$, contains the zeroth fundamental weight Λ_0 as a generator in addition to the simple roots $\{\alpha_i\}$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbf{C}\Lambda_0 \oplus \mathbf{C}\delta \oplus \mathbf{C}\alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{C}\alpha_8.$$

Λ_0 satisfies $(\Lambda_0|\alpha_i) = 0$, $i \neq 0$ and $(\Lambda_0|\delta) = 1$, which leads to the final identification $\mathcal{E}_9 = -[\Lambda_0] - 1/2[\delta]$. Thus we have another basis of the vector space

$$H_2(B_9; \mathbf{Q}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^8 \mathbf{Q}[\alpha_i] \oplus \mathbf{Q}[\delta] \oplus \mathbf{Q}[\Lambda_0], \quad (2.4)$$

from which it follows that $H_2(B_9; \mathbf{C})$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{h}^*$, the CSA of $\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}$.

To summarize, we find the isomorphism: $\mathfrak{h}^* \ni x \mapsto [x] \in H_2(B_9; \mathbf{C})$ of the $\mathbf{C}$ -vector spaces such that $(x|y) = -[x] \cdot [y]$ for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

The important consequence on the above observation is that the Weyl group $W(\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)})$ of $\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}$ acts on $H_2(B_9; \mathbf{C})$. To see the effect of the Weyl action on the Kähler moduli parameters of the E-string, which should be a physical symmetry, we put the Kähler class $J \in H_2(B_9; \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathfrak{h}^*$ in the canonical form

$$J = (\tfrac{1}{2}\tau + \sigma)[\delta] - \tau[\Lambda_0] - \sum_{i=1}^8 \mu_i[\omega_i], \quad (2.5)$$

where τ is the complex modulus of the torus $\mathbf{T}^2$, on which the 6D E-string theory is compactified to 4D, $\tau + \sigma$ the Kähler modulus of $\mathbf{T}^2$, or equivalently, the E-string tension and the self-dual B -flux on $\mathbf{T}^2$, and (μ_i) the $\mathbf{E}_8$ Wilson lines, that is, the moduli of the flat $\mathbf{E}_8$ bundles on $\mathbf{T}^2$ [10, 12].

There exists a semi-direct product structure: $W(\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}) = W(\mathbf{E}_8) \times T$, where $W(\mathbf{E}_8)$ is the finite Weyl group and $T := \{t_\beta | \beta \in L(\mathbf{E}_8)\}$, the translation by the root lattice $L(\mathbf{E}_8)$.

$W(\mathbf{E}_8)$ affects only the Wilson lines $\mu := \sum_{i=1}^8 \mu_i \omega_i$; it is clear that μ_i transforms in the same way as the simple root α_i of $\mathbf{E}_8$. The embedding ι of the finite root lattice $L(\mathbf{E}_8)$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^8$ defined by

$$\iota : \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \\ \alpha_7 \\ \alpha_8 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_3 \\ \mathbf{e}_4 \\ \mathbf{e}_5 \\ \mathbf{e}_6 \\ \mathbf{e}_7 \\ \mathbf{e}_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{R}^8$, greatly simplifies the description of $W(\mathbf{E}_8)$; it is generated by (i) the permutations of $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$, (ii) the sign flips of even number of $\mathbf{e}_i$ s and (iii) the involution, which is the Weyl reflection with respect to the root $\theta - \sum_{i=1}^7 \alpha_i$, $\mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i - 1/4 \sum_{j=1}^8 \mathbf{e}_j$ [10, 12]. If $\iota(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^8 m_i(\mu) \mathbf{e}_i$, we call $(m_i(\mu))$ the Euclidean coordinates of μ .

On the other hand, the translation t_β by $\beta \in L(\mathbf{E}_8)$ is given by

$$t_\beta(x) = x + (x|\delta)\beta - \left\{ \frac{(\beta|\beta)}{2}(x|\delta) + (x|\beta) \right\} \delta. \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting $x = J$ (2.5), we see the t_β action on the Kähler moduli parameters:

$$\sigma \mapsto \sigma + \frac{1}{2}(\beta|\beta)\tau + (\mu|\beta), \quad \tau \mapsto \tau, \quad \mu \mapsto \mu + \tau\beta, \quad (2.8)$$

which is familiar as a symmetry in classical theta functions. Note that to realize another symmetry translation $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$, $\tau \rightarrow \tau$, $\mu \rightarrow \mu + \alpha$, as a Weyl group action, we need to consider the doubly-affinized $\mathbf{E}_8$ algebra, $\mathbf{E}_9^{(1)}$ [8, 33, 35], which might be possible only if we extend $H_2(B_9)$ to the full homology lattice $H_0(B_9) \oplus H_2(B_9) \oplus H_4(B_9)$.

2.2 Partition functions

The Gromov–Witten partition function $Z_{g;n}(\tau|\mu)$ of genus g and winding number n of the local B_9 model with the Kähler moduli J given in (2.5) is defined by the expansion coefficient of the genus g potential F_g [3]:

$$F_g(\sigma, \tau, \mu) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n Z_{g;n}(\tau|\mu), \quad p := e^{2\pi i \sigma}. \quad (2.9)$$

We remark here that the genus g refers to that of type IIA string, while the winding number n refers to that of E-string.

Using $\varphi(\tau) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$, with $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, we can write $Z_{g;n}$ as

$$Z_{g;n}(\tau|\mu) = \frac{T_{g;n}(\tau|\mu)}{\varphi(\tau)^{12n}}. \quad (2.10)$$

The numerator $T_{g;n}$ is so-called a Weyl-invariant $\mathbf{E}_8$ *quasi*-Jacobi form [22] of index n and weight $2g - 2 + 6n$, which means that $T_{g;n}(\tau|\mu)$ is invariant under the $W(\mathbf{E}_8)$ action on

μ , and it has the following transformation properties:

$$T_{g;n}(\tau|\mu + \alpha + \beta\tau) = e^{-\pi i n[(\beta|\beta)\tau + 2(\beta|\mu)]} T_{g;n}(\tau|\mu), \quad \alpha, \beta \in L(\mathbf{E}_8), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{g;n} \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \middle| \frac{\mu}{c\tau + d} \right) &= (c\tau + d)^{2g-2+6n} e^{\frac{n\pi ic}{c\tau+d}(\mu|\mu)} \hat{T}_{g;n}(\tau|\mu), \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &\in SL(2; \mathbf{Z}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where $\hat{T}_{g;n}$ is obtained from $T_{g;n}$ by replacement of each $E_2(\tau)$ in it with $\hat{E}_2(\tau) := E_2(\tau) - 3/(\pi \text{Im}\tau)$, which gets rid of the modular anomaly of $Z_{g;n}$ which comes from

$$E_2 \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = (c\tau + d)^2 \left(E_2(\tau) + \frac{12}{2\pi i} \frac{c}{c\tau + d} \right), \quad (2.13)$$

at the sacrifice of holomorphy [36]. (2.11) shows that $e^{2\pi i n \sigma} T_{g;n}(\tau|\mu)$ is invariant under the translation t_β (2.8) as expected. In particular, the genus zero, singly winding partition function can be given by the classical level one $\mathbf{E}_8$ theta function [23, 7, 27]:

$$T_{0;1}(\tau|\mu) = \Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(\tau|\mu) := \sum_{\alpha \in L(\mathbf{E}_8)} e^{\pi i(\alpha|\alpha)\tau + 2\pi i(\alpha|\mu)}. \quad (2.14)$$

$\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}$ can be written in terms of the Euclidean coordinates for the Wilson lines as

$$\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(\tau|\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^4 \prod_{i=1}^8 \vartheta_a(\tau|m_i), \quad \iota(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^8 m_i \mathbf{e}_i. \quad (2.15)$$

The relation to curve counting problem is as follows [18]: in $Z_{0;1}(\tau|\mu)$, the $\mathbf{E}_8$ theta function part is regarded as the contribution to $Z_{0;1}$ from the Mordell–Weil lattice [9], while the denominator part φ^{12} [23, 7] from the twelve degenerate elliptic fibres of the fibration $\pi : B_9 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.

Furthermore it is clear from the analysis of the BPS states in [18, 19] that higher genus partition functions of the singly winding sector can be obtained by

$$\Phi_1 := \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} Z_{g;1}(\tau|\mu) x^{2g-2} = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\vartheta_1(\tau|\frac{x}{2\pi})} \right)^2 Z_{0;1}(\tau|\mu). \quad (2.16)$$

The genus expansion of the right hand side reads [36],

$$\left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\vartheta_1(\tau|\frac{x}{2\pi})} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{x^2} \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} b_{2k}}{k(2k)!} E_{2k}(\tau) x^{2k} \right] = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} x^{2g-2} A_g(\tau),$$

where b_n and the $(2k)$ th Eisenstein series $E_{2k}(\tau)$ are defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad E_{2k}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{4k}{b_{2k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) q^n, \quad \sigma_k(n) := \sum_{m|n} m^k.$$

As $E_{2k} \in \mathbf{Q}[E_4, E_6]$, that is, $E_8 = E_4^2$, $E_{10} = E_4 E_6$, $E_{12} = 1/691(250E_6^2 + 441E_4^3)$, $E_{14} = E_4^2 E_6$, for example, the coefficients A_g above can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{12} E_2, \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{1440} (E_4 + 5E_2^2), \quad A_3 = \frac{1}{362880} (4E_6 + 21E_2 E_4 + 35E_2^3), \\ A_4 &= \frac{1}{87091200} (39E_4^2 + 80E_2 E_6 + 210E_2^2 E_4 + 175E_2^4), \\ A_5 &= \frac{1}{11496038400} (136E_4 E_6 + 429E_2 E_4^2 + 440E_2^2 E_6 + 770E_2^3 E_4 + 385E_2^5), \dots \end{aligned}$$

The partition functions $Z_{g;n}$ obeys the modular anomaly equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Z_{g;n}(\tau|\mu)}{\partial E_2(\tau)} &= \frac{1}{24} \sum_{h+h'=g} \sum_{k+k'=n} k k' Z_{h;k}(\tau|\mu) Z_{h';k'}(\tau|\mu) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24} n(n+1) Z_{g-1;n}(\tau|\mu), \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

which has first been found in genus zero partition functions [29], and then generalized to higher genus partition functions in [18]. This can be rewritten using the genus g potential (2.9) with each $E_2(\tau)$ in it replaced by $\hat{E}_2(\tau)$, $\hat{F}_g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n \hat{Z}_{g;n}$, as

$$-16\pi i (\text{Im}\tau)^2 \left(\frac{\partial \hat{F}_g}{\partial \bar{\tau}} \right) = \sum_{h=0}^g \Theta_p \hat{F}_h \Theta_p \hat{F}_{g-h} + (\Theta_p + 1) \Theta_p \hat{F}_{g-1},$$

where $\Theta_p = p\partial/\partial p$ is the Euler derivative. Compare this with the holomorphic anomaly equation for the topological string amplitude F_g for $g > 1$ on a Calabi–Yau threefold X expressed in a general coordinate system [3]

$$\partial_i \hat{F}_g = \frac{1}{2} C_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}} e^{2K} G^{j\bar{j}} G^{k\bar{k}} \left(\sum_{h=1}^{g-1} D_j \hat{F}_h D_{\bar{k}} \hat{F}_{g-h} + D_j D_{\bar{k}} \hat{F}_{g-1} \right).$$

where $G_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} K$ is the Weil–Petersson–Zamolodchikov metric on the complex moduli space $\mathcal{M}(X^*)$ of the mirror X^* , e^{-K} a natural Hermite metric on a positive line bundle $L \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X^*)$, with the holomorphic three-form of X^* being a local section, and C_{ijk} the **27**³ Yukawa coupling. The covariant derivatives above have contributions not only from

the Levi-Civita connection $\Gamma_{ij}^k = G^{k\bar{l}} \partial_j G_{i\bar{l}}$ but also from the Hermite connection $-\partial_i K$ on L because $\hat{F}_g$ is a section of L^{2g-2} ,

$$D_i \hat{F}_g = [\partial_i + (2-2g)\partial_i K] \hat{F}_g, \quad D_i D_j \hat{F}_g = [\partial_i + (2-2g)\partial_i K] D_j \hat{F}_g - \Gamma_{ij}^k D_k \hat{F}_g.$$

Even more important is the potential Φ_n of fixed winding number n :

$$\Phi_n(\lambda; \tau, \mu) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} x^{2g-2} Z_{g;n}(\tau|\mu), \quad \lambda := e^{\frac{1}{12} E_2(\tau) x^2}. \quad (2.18)$$

Introduction of λ and the full potential $\mathcal{A} := \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} x^{2g-2} F_g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n \Phi_n$ greatly simplifies the modular anomaly equation (2.17):

$$\Theta_\lambda \exp(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \Theta_p (\Theta_p + 1) \exp(\mathcal{A}). \quad (2.19)$$

If we substitute the p -expansion $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n \psi_n(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n)$, where ψ_n is the n th Schur polynomial, then we obtain $\Theta_\lambda \psi_n = n(n+1)/2 \psi_n$, hence

$$\psi_n(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \psi_n(\Phi_1^0, \dots, \Phi_n^0), \quad (2.20)$$

where $\Phi_n^0 = \Phi_n|_{E_2=0}$ is the anomaly-free part; in particular, $\Phi_1 = \lambda \Phi_1^0$ from (2.16).

Finally (2.20) enables us to express the solution for the modular anomaly equation (2.17) concisely by Φ_n with the anomaly-free part Φ_n^0 as its integration constant:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)(\Phi_1)^2 + \lambda^3 \Phi_2^0, \\ \Phi_3 &= -\frac{1}{6}(\lambda - 1)^2(2\lambda + 1)(\Phi_1)^3 + (\lambda^2 - 1)\Phi_1 \Phi_2 + \lambda^6 \Phi_3^0, \\ \Phi_4 &= \frac{1}{24}(\lambda - 1)^3(6\lambda^3 + 6\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 1)(\Phi_1)^4 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^4 - 1)(\Phi_2)^2 + (\lambda^3 - 1)\Phi_1 \Phi_3 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1)(\Phi_1)^2 \Phi_2 + \lambda^{10} \Phi_4^0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

We are interested in Φ_n also because it encapsulates the interaction of n E-strings, which can be quite different from that of fundamental strings [27].

We also give the prediction of the leading term of the partition function:

$$Z_{g;n}(\tau|\mu) = \beta_{g,0} n^{2g-3} + O(q^n), \quad \beta_{g,0} := \frac{|b_{2g}(2g-1)|}{(2g)!}, \quad (2.22)$$

which generalizes the genus zero result in [29]. This can be used to partially fix the integration constants of the partition function.

3 Four Torus Models

In this section, we investigate the instanton expansion by means of elliptic modular forms [37] of the periods of four one-parameter families of elliptic curves, $\mathbf{E}_N$, $N=5, 6, 7, 8$, each of which is defined as a complete intersection in a toric variety as shown in the Table 1. The results of this section will play an essential role in the instanton expansion of E-string model in the next section.

Table 1: Toric models

elliptic curve	$\mathbf{E}_9$ del Pezzo
$\mathbf{E}_5 : \mathbf{P}^3[2, 2]$	$\mathbf{E}_5 \quad \mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$
$\mathbf{E}_6 : \mathbf{P}^2[3]$	$\mathbf{E}_6 \quad \mathbf{E}_0$
$\mathbf{E}_7 : \mathbf{P}_{1,1,2}[4]$	$\mathbf{E}_7$
$\mathbf{E}_8 : \mathbf{P}_{1,2,3}[6]$	$\mathbf{E}_8$

The $\mathbf{E}_N$ torus model have been studied in connection with the one-parameter families of the local $\mathbf{E}_N$ del Pezzo models [23, 24, 6, 31, 32], and Calabi–Yau threefolds with these elliptic fibers have been used to describe 4D string models the $\mathbf{E}_8$ gauge symmetry of which is broken to $\mathbf{E}_N$ by Wilson lines [1, 23].

3.1 Periods of elliptic curves

The Picard–Fuchs operator for the periods of the $\mathbf{E}_N$ family of elliptic curves is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{ell}}^{(N)} = \Theta^2 - z(\Theta + \alpha^{(N)})(\Theta + \beta^{(N)}), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Theta = z d/dz$ is the Euler derivative with respect to the *bare* modulus z , and α, β is defined by $\alpha + \beta = 1$, and $(\alpha^{(5)}, \alpha^{(6)}, \alpha^{(7)}, \alpha^{(8)}) = (1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/6)$.

The Gauss hypergeometric equation defined by (3.1) has the regular singular points at $z = 0, 1, \infty$. The solutions around $z = 0$, which corresponds to the large radius limit point of the sigma model with the torus as target, are given by

$$\varpi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z^n = {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 1; z), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\varpi_D(z) = \varpi(z) \log\left(\frac{z}{\kappa}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)b(n)z^n, \quad (3.3)$$

where $(\kappa^{(5)}, \kappa^{(6)}, \kappa^{(7)}, \kappa^{(8)}) = (16, 27, 64, 432)$, and

$$a(n) = \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta)_n}{(n!)^2}, \quad b(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{k+\alpha} + \frac{1}{k+\beta} - \frac{2}{k+1} \right),$$

with $(\alpha)_n := \Gamma(n+\alpha)/\Gamma(\alpha)$ the Pochhammer symbol.

The mirror map of the torus is defined by

$$2\pi i\tau = \frac{\varpi_D(z)}{\varpi(z)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where τ is the Kähler parameter of the torus.

In terms of the local coordinate $u = 1-z$ at another regular singular point $z=1$, the Picard–Fuchs operator takes the same form as one at $z=0$ (3.1), and the continuation of the solutions above becomes [32]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varpi(z) \\ \varpi_D(z) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi(u) \\ \varpi_D(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $M_{0,1,\infty}$ be the monodromy matrices around the regular singular points $z=0, 1, \infty$ with respect to the basis $\{\varpi_D(z)/(2\pi i), \varpi(z)\}$. We can compute M_0 and M_1 from (3.2), (3.3) and (3.5) respectively:

$$M_0 = T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 = -ST^{(9-N)}S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -(9-N) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

where S and T are the standard generators of $SL(2; \mathbf{Z})$. The remaining one M_∞ can be obtained from $M_\infty = M_1 M_0$. The monodromy group Γ_{ell} is generated by M_0 and M_1 ; in particular, for $N \neq 8$, $\Gamma_{\text{ell}}^{(N)} \cong \Gamma_0(9-N)$, which is the Hecke subgroup of $SL(2; \mathbf{Z})$ [37]

$$\Gamma_0(h) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2; \mathbf{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{h} \right\}.$$

The structure of $M_*(\Gamma_0(9-N))$, the graded ring of the modular forms of even degree of $\Gamma_0(9-N)$, should be clear from

$$\mathbf{E}_5 : \quad M_*(\Gamma_0(4)) = \mathbf{C}[\vartheta_3(2\tau)^4, \vartheta_4(2\tau)^4], \quad (3.7)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_6 : \quad M_*(\Gamma_0(3)) = \mathbf{C}[\varpi^{(6)}, H] \Big|_{\text{even}}, \quad H := \frac{\eta(\tau)^9}{\eta(3\tau)^3}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_7 : \quad M_*(\Gamma_0(2)) = \mathbf{C}[A, B], \quad A := \frac{1}{2}(\vartheta_3^4 + \vartheta_4^4)(\tau), \quad B := \vartheta_3^4 \vartheta_4^4(\tau). \quad (3.9)$$

The fundamental solution $\varpi(z)$ admits the following expressions:

$$\varpi^{(5)}(z) = \Theta_{\mathbf{A}_1 \oplus \mathbf{A}_1}(\tau) = \vartheta_3(2\tau)^2, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\varpi^{(6)}(z) = \Theta_{\mathbf{A}_2}(\tau) = \vartheta_3(2\tau)\vartheta_3(6\tau) + \vartheta_2(2\tau)\vartheta_2(6\tau), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\varpi^{(7)}(z)^2 = \Theta_{\mathbf{D}_4}(\tau) = A(\tau), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\varpi^{(8)}(z)^4 = \Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(\tau) = E_4(\tau), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\Theta_{\mathbf{K}}(\tau)$ is the theta function associated with the root lattice of $\mathbf{K}$.

Despite of the modular anomaly (2.13), $hE_2(h\tau) - E_2(\tau)$ is a sound modular form of $\Gamma_0(h)$ for each $h \in \mathbf{N}$. The following identity then shows that $\varpi(z)^2$ is an element of $M_2(\Gamma_{\text{ell}}^{(N)})$ for $N = 5, 6, 7$:

$$(8-N)\omega^{(N)}(z)^2 = (9-N)E_2((9-N)\tau) - E_2(\tau). \quad (3.14)$$

Let us define for later use the modular function e_{2k} by $e_{2k}(\tau) = E_{2k}(\tau)\varpi^{-2k}$ for each model, which turn out to be written in terms of $y := 1/(1-z)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_5 : \quad e_4(\tau) &= 16 - \frac{16}{y} + \frac{1}{y^2}, & e_6(\tau) &= -64 + \frac{96}{y} - \frac{30}{y^2} - \frac{1}{y^3}, \\ \mathbf{E}_6 : \quad e_4(\tau) &= 9 - \frac{8}{y}, & e_6(\tau) &= -27 + \frac{36}{y} - \frac{8}{y^2}, \\ \mathbf{E}_7 : \quad e_4(\tau) &= 4 - \frac{3}{y}, & e_6(\tau) &= -8 + \frac{9}{y}, \\ \mathbf{E}_8 : \quad e_4(\tau) &= 1, & e_6(\tau) &= -1 + \frac{2}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that e_4 and e_6 are subject to the algebraic relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_5 : \quad 0 &= e_4^3 - e_6^2 - 108 e_4 e_6 - 8640 e_6 - 1620 e_4^2 - 17280 e_4 + 27648, \\ \mathbf{E}_6 : \quad 0 &= 8 e_6 + 18 e_4 + e_4^2 - 27, \\ \mathbf{E}_7 : \quad 0 &= 3 e_4 + e_6 - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T^{(N)}(\tau)$ be the modular function of $\Gamma_{\text{ell}}^{(N)}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(5)}(\tau) &= \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(4\tau)} \right)^8, \quad T^{(6)}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)} \right)^{12}, \quad T^{(7)}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)} \right)^{24}, \\ T^{(8)}(\tau) &= \left(\frac{E_4(\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}} + E_6(\tau)}{2\eta(\tau)^{12}} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $\eta(\tau) := q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ is the Dedekind eta function with $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. Then the inversion of the mirror map (3.4) can be given by

$$\frac{1}{z(\tau)} = 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} T(\tau). \quad (3.16)$$

We note here that when $N = 5, 6, 7$, $\Gamma_{\text{ell}}^{(N)}$ is a genus zero modular group, that is, the upper half plane divided by the action of $\Gamma_{\text{ell}}^{(N)}$ is completed to $\mathbf{P}^1$, and the q -expansion of $T^{(N)}(\tau)$ reproduces the Thompson series associated with $\Gamma_{\text{ell}}^{(N)}$ [25].

Another useful expressions of $1 - z$ by modular forms are

$$\mathbf{E}_5 : \quad 1 - z(\tau) = \left(\frac{\vartheta_4(2\tau)}{\vartheta_3(2\tau)} \right)^4, \quad \mathbf{E}_6 : \quad 1 - z(\tau) = \frac{H}{\varpi^3}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_7 : \quad 1 - z(\tau) = \frac{B}{A^2}, \quad \mathbf{E}_8 : \quad 1 - z(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + E_6 E_4^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\tau)). \quad (3.18)$$

For each model, $\varpi(z)$ and z satisfy the following equations:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dz}{d\tau} = z(1 - z) \varpi(z)^2, \quad \kappa \eta(\tau)^{24} = z(1 - z)^{9-N} \varpi(z)^{12}. \quad (3.19)$$

3.2 Modular identities

The following power series will play an important role in the instanton expansion of the E-string models:

$$\xi^{(0)}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{(6)}(n) h(3n) z^n, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\xi^{(\bar{1})}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{(5)}(n) h(2n) z^n, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\xi^{(N)}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{(N)}(n) h(n) z^n, \quad \text{for } N = 5, 6, 7, 8. \quad (3.22)$$

where $h(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1}$ is the harmonic function. A computer experiment gives the identities:

$$\mathbf{E}_6 : \quad \psi^{(0)}(\tau) = \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{(0)}(z)}{\varpi(z)} \right) = \left(\frac{27q}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} (1 - z)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_5 : \quad \psi^{(\bar{1})}(\tau) = \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{(\bar{1})}(z)}{\varpi(z)} \right) = \left(\frac{16q}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} (1 - z)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_N : \quad \psi^{(N)}(\tau) = \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{(N)}(z)}{\varpi(z)} \right) = \left(\frac{\kappa^{(N)} q}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - z)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(q T^{(N)}(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.25)$$

4 Model Building of E-Strings

In this section, we give six models: $\mathbf{E}_N$, $N=0, \tilde{1}, 5, 6, 7, 8$, of $\mathbf{E}_9$ almost del Pezzo surface listed in Table 1, which is realized as a complete intersection in a toric variety, and analyze the Picard–Fuchs systems defined by them at the large radius point. Among the six models, we call the four $\mathbf{E}_{5,6,7,8}$ the principal series, the reason of which will become clear in the investigation of the Picard–Fuchs system of them.

4.1 Principal series

The $\mathbf{E}_{6,7,8}$ models are obtained as hypersurfaces in ambient toric threefolds with their Kähler classes inherited from those of the ambient spaces.

To describe these, let us first define the action of $(\mathbf{C}^*)^2$ on $\mathbf{C}^5$ for each model by

$$\mathbf{E}_6 : (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \rightarrow (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda^{-1} \mu x_3, \mu x_4, \mu x_5), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_7 : (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \rightarrow (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda^{-1} \mu x_3, \mu x_4, \mu^2 x_5), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_8 : (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \rightarrow (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda^{-1} \mu x_3, \mu^2 x_4, \mu^3 x_5), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}^*$. Then the ambient toric variety $\mathbf{A}$ can be realized by the quotient $\mathbf{A} := (\mathbf{C}^5 - F)/(\mathbf{C}^*)^2$, where $F = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\} \cup \{x_3 = x_4 = x_5 = 0\}$ is the bad point set of the $(\mathbf{C}^*)^2$ action.

It is easy to see that the ambient space $\mathbf{A}$ for the $\mathbf{E}_{6,7,8}$ model has a structure of weighted projective surface bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$ with fiber $\mathbf{P}^2$, $\mathbf{P}_{1,1,2}$, $\mathbf{P}_{1,2,3}$ respectively.

We can now define the $\mathbf{E}_9$ almost del Pezzo surface B_9 for the $\mathbf{E}_{6,7,8}$ model as a hypersurface in $\mathbf{A}$ of bidegree $(0, 3)$, $(0, 4)$ and $(0, 6)$ respectively, where the bidegree refers to the (λ, μ) charge of the defining polynomial. The Kähler classes for B_9 induced from those of the ambient space are given by

$$J^{(6)} = \sigma[\delta] + \tau([\delta] + \mathcal{E}_7 + \mathcal{E}_8 + \mathcal{E}_9), \quad (4.4)$$

$$J^{(7)} = \sigma[\delta] + \tau([\delta] + \mathcal{E}_8 + \mathcal{E}_9), \quad (4.5)$$

$$J^{(8)} = \sigma[\delta] + \tau([\delta] + \mathcal{E}_9), \quad (4.6)$$

where we recall that $[\delta]$ is the first Chern class of B_9 . The local model of B_9 embedded in a Calabi–Yau threefold is described by the total space of the canonical line bundle K_{B_9} ,

which is again realized as a hypersurface in the non-compact toric variety $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}(-1, 0)$. From this fact, we can identify the Mori vectors of the local Calabi–Yau models, which plays an essential role in the formulation of mirror symmetry [16]:

$$\mathbf{E}_6 : \quad l_1 = (0; 1, 1, -1, 0, 0, -1), \quad l_2 = (-3; 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_7 : \quad l_1 = (0; 1, 1, -1, 0, 0, -1), \quad l_2 = (-4; 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 0), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_8 : \quad l_1 = (0; 1, 1, -1, 0, 0, -1), \quad l_2 = (-6; 0, 0, 1, 2, 3, 0). \quad (4.9)$$

It will suffice here to point out that the first components of the vectors l_1, l_2 are (minus) the degrees of the hypersurface, while the rest the $\mathbf{C}^*$ charges of the homogeneous coordinates of $K_{\mathbf{A}}$, where the last one corresponds to the non-compact direction.

Next we consider the $\mathbf{E}_5$ model. The ambient toric variety in this case is a $\mathbf{P}^3$ bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$. This space admits the quotient realization $(\mathbf{C}^6 - F)/(\mathbf{C}^*)^2$, where the $(\mathbf{C}^*)^2$ action on $\mathbf{C}^6$ is defined by $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \rightarrow (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda^{-1} \mu x_3, \mu x_4, \mu x_5, \mu x_6)$, and the bad point set $F = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\} \cup \{x_3 = x_4 = x_5 = x_6 = 0\}$.

The surface B_9 is defined by a complete intersection of two hypersurfaces of bidegree $(0, 2)$. The Kähler class of the $\mathbf{E}_5$ model induced from the ambient space turns out to be

$$J^{(5)} = \sigma[\delta] + \tau([\delta] + \mathcal{E}_6 + \mathcal{E}_7 + \mathcal{E}_8 + \mathcal{E}_9). \quad (4.10)$$

The Mori vectors in this case can be seen as in the case of the $\mathbf{E}_{6,7,8}$ models above:

$$\mathbf{E}_5 : \quad l_1 = (0, 0; 1, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, -1), \quad l_2 = (-2, -2; 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0). \quad (4.11)$$

4.2 $\mathbf{E}_0$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$ models

We present here the remaining two models, $\mathbf{E}_0$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$. Because the ambient spaces for these models are products of projective spaces, rather than a twisted fiber bundle as in the case of principal series, the toric construction of them may be omitted.

The $\mathbf{E}_0$ model is realized as a hypersurface of bidegree $(1, 3)$ in $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^2$, while the $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$ model as one of tridegree $(1, 2, 2)$ in $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$.

The Kähler classes induced from the ambient spaces are given by

$$J^{(0)} = \sigma[\delta] + \tau l, \quad (4.12)$$

$$J^{(\bar{1})} = \sigma[\delta] + \tau_1(l - \mathcal{E}_1) + \tau_2(l - \mathcal{E}_2). \quad (4.13)$$

Note that for the $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$ model, we must put the restriction $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau$ to obtain a two-parameter model.

The Mori vectors of these two models are

$$\mathbf{E}_0 : \quad l_1 = (-1; 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1), \quad l_2 = (-3; 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0), \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}} : \quad l_1 &= (-1; 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1), \quad l_2 = (-2; 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\ l_3 &= (-2; 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

4.3 Kähler classes

Each of the Kähler classes of the six models obtained above admits two important representations, the one of which reveals the blow-up/down scheme of the two-parameter family of B_9 s, and the other the canonical form (2.5) suggested by the investigation of 6D E-string compactified on $\mathbf{T}^2$ [10, 12]:

$$J^{(0)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \frac{\tau}{3} c_1(B_0) = (\sigma + \frac{3}{2}\tau)[\delta] - 3\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau[\omega_8], \quad (4.16)$$

$$J^{(\bar{1})} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \frac{\tau}{2} c_1(B_{\bar{1}}) = (\sigma + 2\tau)[\delta] - 4\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau[\omega_2], \quad (4.17)$$

$$J^{(5)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \tau c_1(B_5) = (\sigma + 2\tau)[\delta] - 4\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau[\omega_5], \quad (4.18)$$

$$J^{(6)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \tau c_1(B_6) = (\sigma + \frac{3}{2}\tau)[\delta] - 3\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau[\omega_6], \quad (4.19)$$

$$J^{(7)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \tau c_1(B_7) = (\sigma + 1\tau)[\delta] - 2\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau[\omega_7], \quad (4.20)$$

$$J^{(8)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \tau c_1(B_8) = (\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\tau)[\delta] - 1\tau[\Lambda_0], \quad (4.21)$$

where we recall that $[\delta] = c_1(B_9) = 3l - \sum_{i=1}^9 \mathcal{E}_i$, $\mathcal{E}_9 = -[\Lambda_0] - 1/2[\delta]$, B_N the $\mathbf{E}_N$ del Pezzo surface with $c_1(B_N) = 3l - \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{E}_i$, $B_0 = \mathbf{P}^2$ with $c_1(B_0) = 3l$, and $B_{\bar{1}} = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ with $c_1(B_{\bar{1}}) = 2(2l - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2)$.

The existence of the blow-down of each model to the one parameter family of the corresponding del Pezzo surface, where the name of the model comes, leads to the relation between Gromov–Witten invariants of these models as we shall see below. The appearance of a single fundamental weight in the Wilson line term in each model is also suggestive.

There are a few words to be said on what should be the E_N models for $N = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Their Kähler moduli are expected to be written as

$$J^{(1)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \tau c_1(B_1) = (\sigma + 4\tau)[\delta] - 8\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau([\omega_1] + 2[\omega_8]), \quad (4.22)$$

$$J^{(2)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \tau c_1(B_2) = (\sigma + \frac{7}{2}\tau)[\delta] - 7\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau([\omega_2] + [\omega_8]), \quad (4.23)$$

$$J^{(3)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \tau c_1(B_3) = (\sigma + 3\tau)[\delta] - 6\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau[\omega_3], \quad (4.24)$$

$$J^{(4)} = \sigma c_1(B_9) + \tau c_1(B_4) = (\sigma + \frac{5}{2}\tau)[\delta] - 5\tau[\Lambda_0] - \tau[\omega_4], \quad (4.25)$$

In view of the fact that $B_{1,2,3}$ are themselves toric surfaces, we might expect that at least for $N = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathbf{E}_N$ models can be realized as a hypersurface in the toric threefold $B_N \times \mathbf{P}^1$, which we will not pursue further.

4.4 Periods

The solutions to the Picard–Fuchs differential equations around the large radius limit point $(z_1, z_2) = (0, 0)$ can be obtained by the Frobenius method [16]. We first define the formal power series $\Omega_\rho^{(N)}(z_1, z_2)$ from the Mori vectors l_1, l_2 :

$$\Omega_\rho(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} A(n_1 + \rho_1, n_2 + \rho_2) z_1^{n_1 + \rho_1} z_2^{n_2 + \rho_2}, \quad (4.26)$$

where $A(n_1, n_2)$ for the $\mathbf{E}_0$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$ models are

$$A^{(0)}(n_1, n_2) = \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^{n_2} \frac{\Gamma(1 + n_1 + 3n_2)}{\Gamma(1 - n_1)\Gamma(1 + n_1)^2\Gamma(1 + n_2)^3}, \quad (4.27)$$

$$A^{(\bar{1})}(n_1, n_2) = \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^{n_2} \frac{\Gamma(1 + n_1 + 2n_2)\Gamma(1 + 2n_2)}{\Gamma(1 - n_1)\Gamma(1 + n_1)^2\Gamma(1 + n_2)^4}, \quad (4.28)$$

while for the principal series $\mathbf{E}_N$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$,

$$A^{(N)}(n_1, n_2) := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n_2)\Gamma(\beta + n_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(1 + n_2)\Gamma(1 + n_1)^2\Gamma(1 - n_1)\Gamma(1 + n_2 - n_1)}. \quad (4.29)$$

We remark that the $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$ model has been defined as the three parameter model. The three Mori vectors (4.15) produce the formal power series

$$\Omega_\rho(z_1, w_1, w_2) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} A(n_1 + \rho_1, k_1 + \rho_{21}, k_2 + \rho_{22}) z_1^{n_1 + \rho_1} w_1^{k_1 + \rho_{21}} w_2^{k_2 + \rho_{22}},$$

$$A(n_1, k_1, k_2) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + n_1 + 2k_1 + 2k_2)}{\Gamma(1 - n_1)\Gamma(1 + n_1)^2\Gamma(1 + k_1)^2\Gamma(1 + k_2)^2}.$$

Then the reduction to the two parameter model is achieved by setting $w_1 = w_2 = z_2/16$; correspondingly, the coefficient of the power series reduces to the one described in (4.28):

$$\begin{aligned} 16^{n_2} A(n_1, n_2) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2=n_2} A(n_1, k_1, k_2) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1 + n_1 + 2n_2)}{\Gamma(1 - n_1)\Gamma(1 + n_1)^2\Gamma(1 + n_2)^2} \sum_{k_1+k_2=n_2} \left(\frac{(n_2)!}{(k_1)!(k_2)!} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1 + n_1 + 2n_2)\Gamma(1 + 2n_2)}{\Gamma(1 - n_1)\Gamma(1 + n_1)^2\Gamma(1 + n_2)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

The computation of the Picard–Fuchs operators can be done in a standard manner once we know the form of $A(n_1, n_2)$ [16]. For $\mathbf{E}_0$ and $\mathbf{E}_1$ model we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{(0)} &= \Theta_1^2 + z_1\Theta_1(\Theta_1 + 3\Theta_2 + 1), \\ \mathcal{D}_2^{(0)} &= 9\Theta_2^2 - 3\Theta_1\Theta_2 - z_2(3\Theta_2 + \Theta_1 + 1)(3\Theta_2 + \Theta_1 + 2) - 3z_1\Theta_1\Theta_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{(1)} &= \Theta_1^2 + z_1\Theta_1(\Theta_1 + 2\Theta_2 + 1), \\ \mathcal{D}_2^{(1)} &= 4\Theta_2^2 - 2\Theta_1\Theta_2 - z_2(2\Theta_2 + 1)(2\Theta_2 + \Theta_1 + 1) - 2z_1\Theta_1\Theta_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

and for the principal series $\mathbf{E}_N$ with $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{(N)} &= \Theta_1^2 - z_1\Theta_1(\Theta_1 - \Theta_2), \\ \mathcal{D}_2^{(N)} &= \Theta_2(\Theta_2 - \Theta_1) - z_2(\Theta_2 + \alpha^{(N)})(\Theta_2 + \beta^{(N)}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

where $\Theta_1 = z_1\partial_{z_1}$ and $\Theta_2 = z_2\partial_{z_2}$. In passing, we remark here that the Picard–Fuchs system for the principal series gives an Appell–Horn hypergeometric system in four ways according to the choice of the base point $(z_1^{\pm 1} = 0, z_2^{\pm 1} = 0)$. It would be quite interesting to analyze their monodromies.

The Frobenius method then gives the four solutions of the Picard–Fuchs system (4.30), (4.31), (4.32):

$$\varpi(z_2) = \Omega_\rho(z_1, z_2)|_{\rho=0}, \tag{4.33}$$

$$\varpi_D(z_2) = \partial_{\rho_2} \Omega_\rho(z_1, z_2)|_{\rho=0}, \quad (4.34)$$

$$\phi(z_1, z_2) = \partial_{\rho_1} \Omega_\rho(z_1, z_2)|_{\rho=0}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$\phi_D^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) = \left(\partial_{\rho_1} \partial_{\rho_2} + \frac{1}{6} \partial_{\rho_2}^2 \right) \Omega_\rho^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) \Big|_{\rho=0}, \quad (4.36)$$

$$\phi_D^{(\bar{1})}(z_1, z_2) = \left(\partial_{\rho_1} \partial_{\rho_2} + \frac{1}{4} \partial_{\rho_2}^2 \right) \Omega_\rho^{(\bar{1})}(z_1, z_2) \Big|_{\rho=0}, \quad (4.37)$$

$$\phi_D^{(N)}(z_1, z_2) = \left(\partial_{\rho_1} \partial_{\rho_2} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho_2}^2 \right) \Omega_\rho^{(N)}(z_1, z_2) \Big|_{\rho=0}, \quad N = 5, 6, 7, 8. \quad (4.38)$$

The first two solutions ϖ and ϖ_D are the same as those of the corresponding torus (3.2), (3.3), which is evident from the structure of the Picard–Fuchs operators above.

The third one is given by

$$\phi(z_1, z_2) = \varpi(z_2) \log(z_1) + \xi^{(N)}(z_2) + \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{n_1}^{(N)} \varpi(z_2) z_1^{n_1}, \quad (4.39)$$

where $\xi^{(N)}(z_2)$ has been defined in (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) and $\mathcal{L}_n^{(N)}$ is the differential operator defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{(0)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n \cdot n!} \prod_{k=1}^n (3\Theta_2 + k), \quad (4.40)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{(\bar{1})} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n \cdot n!} \prod_{k=1}^n (2\Theta_2 + k), \quad (4.41)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{(N)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n \cdot n!} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\Theta_2 - k), \quad N = 5, 6, 7, 8. \quad (4.42)$$

The flat coordinates τ, σ are obtained by the mirror map

$$2\pi i \tau = \frac{\varpi_D(z_2)}{\varpi(z_2)}, \quad 2\pi i \sigma = \frac{\phi(z_1, z_2)}{\varpi(z_2)}. \quad (4.43)$$

Let $c_n := \mathcal{L}_n \varpi / \varpi$, then (4.43) with (3.23), (3.24), (3.25) yields

$$e^{2\pi i \sigma} \psi^{(N)}(\tau) = z_1 \exp \left(\sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} c_{n_1}(\tau) z_1^{n_1} \right), \quad (4.44)$$

from which we know that the inversion of the mirror for z_1 takes the form

$$z_1 = \tilde{p} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n(\tau) \tilde{p}^n \right), \quad (4.45)$$

where $\tilde{p} := e^{2\pi i \sigma} \psi(\tau)$, and $d_n \in \mathbf{Q}[c_1, \dots, c_n]$; explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= -c_1, & d_2 &= \frac{3}{2} c_1^2 - c_2, & d_3 &= -\frac{8}{3} c_1^3 + 4 c_1 c_2 - c_3, \\ d_4 &= \frac{125}{24} c_1^4 - \frac{25}{2} c_1^2 c_2 + 5 c_1 c_3 + \frac{5}{2} c_2^2 - c_4, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

After some tedious calculations, we find that the last solution ϕ_D is given by

$$\phi_D^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) = (2\pi i)^2 \varpi(z_2) \left(\sigma \tau + \frac{1}{6} \tau^2 - \frac{1}{6} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n(z_2)}{\varpi(z_2)} z_1^n, \quad (4.47)$$

$$\phi_D^{(\bar{1})}(z_1, z_2) = (2\pi i)^2 \varpi(z_2) \left(\sigma \tau + \frac{1}{4} \tau^2 - \frac{1}{8} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n(z_2)}{\varpi(z_2)} z_1^n, \quad (4.48)$$

$$\phi_D^{(N)}(z_1, z_2) = (2\pi i)^2 \varpi(z_2) \left(\sigma \tau + \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 - \frac{1}{2(9-N)} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n(z_2)}{\varpi(z_2)} z_1^n, \quad (4.49)$$

where $f_n(z_2)$ is the “higher Wronskian” defined by

$$f_n(z_2) = -(\varpi \mathcal{L}_n \varpi_D - \varpi_D \mathcal{L}_n \varpi)(z_2). \quad (4.50)$$

It must be noted here that a crucial ingredient in obtaining the concise expression for ϕ_D above is the following formula of combinatoric nature:

$$\boxed{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) k(n) z_2^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z_2^n \right) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) b(n) z_2^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) s(n) z_2^n \right)}, \quad (4.51)$$

where $s(n)$ and $k(n)$ are defined by

$$s(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{l+\alpha} + \frac{1}{l+\beta} \right), \quad k(n) = s(n) \left(s(n) - 2 \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l+1} \right) - \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(l+\alpha)^2} + \frac{1}{(l+\beta)^2} \right).$$

The instanton part of the genus zero prepotential of the model F_0 is defined by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \sigma} \right) = h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n(z_2)}{\varpi(z_2)^2} z_1^n, \quad (4.52)$$

where $(h^{(0)}, h^{(\bar{1})}, h^{(5)}, h^{(6)}, h^{(7)}, h^{(8)}) = (3, 4, 4, 3, 2, 1)$ is the normalization factor, which may be found, for example, by computation of the classical central charge of a D-branes system corresponding to a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with the Kähler class $J^{(N)}$ [31]:

$$Z^{\text{class}}(\mathcal{F}) = - \left[\exp(-J^{(N)}) \cdot \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot ([B_9] + \frac{1}{2}[\delta] + \frac{1}{2}[\text{pt}]) \right].$$

4.5 Relation to Seiberg–Witten periods

In this subsection we show how to realize the two periods ϕ and ϕ_D , which have been obtained as the solutions of the Picard–Fuchs differential equations, as the Seiberg–Witten integrals of the 6D non-critical string theory [11] compactified on $\mathbf{T}^2$ to 4D [10, 12, 24, 29].

Let $\phi_0(z_1, z_2)$ be a solution of the Picard–Fuchs system (4.30), (4.31), or (4.32). It is easy to see that if $\Theta_1\phi_0 = 0$, then $\mathcal{D}_1\phi_0 = 0$ is automatic and $\mathcal{D}_2\phi_0 = 0$ reduces to the Picard–Fuchs equation for the corresponding elliptic curve: $\mathcal{D}_{\text{ell}}\phi_0 = 0$; hence ϕ_0 is a linear combination of ϖ and ϖ_D .

Consider the case $\Theta_1\phi_0 \neq 0$. The first equation $\mathcal{D}_1\phi_0 = 0$ gives a constraint on the function form of $\Theta_1\phi_0$:

$$\mathbf{E}_0 : \quad \Theta_1\phi_0(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{1+z_1} \omega(\tilde{z}_2), \quad \tilde{z}_2 := \frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^3}, \quad (4.53)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}} : \quad \Theta_1\phi_0(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{1+z_1} \omega(\tilde{z}_2), \quad \tilde{z}_2 := \frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^2}, \quad (4.54)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{5,6,7,8} : \quad \Theta_1\phi_0(z_1, z_2) = \omega(\tilde{z}_2), \quad \tilde{z}_2 := z_2(1-z_1). \quad (4.55)$$

Upon the action of Θ_1 , the second equation $\mathcal{D}_2\phi_0 = 0$ reduces to the Picard–Fuchs equation of the corresponding torus in terms of the variable $\tilde{z}_2$:

$$\mathbf{E}_0 : \quad \Theta_1\mathcal{D}_2\phi_0(z_1, z_2) = 3\mathcal{D}_{\text{ell}}^{(6)}\omega(\tilde{z}_2), \quad (4.56)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}} : \quad \Theta_1\mathcal{D}_2\phi_0(z_1, z_2) = 4\mathcal{D}_{\text{ell}}^{(5)}\omega(\tilde{z}_2), \quad (4.57)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{5,6,7,8} : \quad \Theta_1\mathcal{D}_2\phi_0(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{1-z_1} \mathcal{D}_{\text{ell}}^{(N)}\omega(\tilde{z}_2), \quad (4.58)$$

that is, $\omega(\tilde{z}_2)$ is a period of the elliptic curve.

We have thus arrived at the representation of the general solution ϕ_0 for the Picard–Fuchs system in terms of a period ω of the fiber torus, which is closely related to the Seiberg–Witten periods:

$$\mathbf{E}_0 : \quad \phi_0(z_1, z_2) = \int^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{1}{1+z_1} \omega\left(\frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^3}\right) + c(z_2), \quad (4.59)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}} : \quad \phi_0(z_1, z_2) = \int^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{1}{1+z_1} \omega\left(\frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^2}\right) + c(z_2), \quad (4.60)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{5,6,7,8} : \quad \phi_0(z_1, z_2) = \int^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \omega(z_2 - z_1 z_2) + c(z_2), \quad (4.61)$$

where $c(z_2)$ is a counterterm to ensure $\mathcal{D}_2\phi_0 = 0$.

Curiously, the deformation of the single-variable function $\omega(z_2)$ to the one appeared in the right hand side of (4.53), (4.54) and (4.55) admits the following description in terms of the differential operator $\mathcal{L}_n^{(N)}$ respectively:

$$\frac{1}{1+z_1} \omega\left(\frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^3}\right) = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n z_1^n \mathcal{L}_n^{(0)}\right) \omega(z_2), \quad (4.62)$$

$$\frac{1}{1+z_1} \omega\left(\frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^2}\right) = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n z_1^n \mathcal{L}_n^{(\bar{1})}\right) \omega(z_2), \quad (4.63)$$

$$\omega(z_2 - z_1 z_2) = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n z_1^n \mathcal{L}_n^{(N)}\right) \omega(z_2), \quad N = 5, 6, 7, 8. \quad (4.64)$$

For the period ϕ , we obtain the following integral formula: for $\mathbf{E}_0$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$ models,

$$\mathbf{E}_0 : \phi^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) = \int_{\epsilon}^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{1}{1+z_1} \varpi^{(6)}\left(\frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^3}\right) + \xi^{(0)}(z_2), \quad (4.65)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}} : \phi^{(\bar{1})}(z_1, z_2) = \int_{\epsilon}^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{1}{1+z_1} \varpi^{(5)}\left(\frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^2}\right) + \xi^{(\bar{1})}(z_2), \quad (4.66)$$

and for the principal series

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{5,6,7,8} : \phi^{(N)}(z_1, z_2) &= \int_{\epsilon}^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \varpi^{(N)}(z_2 - z_1 z_2) + \xi^{(N)}(z_2) \\ &= \int_1^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \varpi^{(N)}(z_2 - z_1 z_2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

where we must discard a $\log(\epsilon)$ term before taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The last equation implies that for the principal series, ϕ is a vanishing period at $z_1 = 1$.

Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be the coupling constant of the Seiberg–Witten theory with the bare parameters (z_1, z_2) . It is given by the deformed mirror map

$$2\pi i \tilde{\tau} = \frac{\varpi_D(\tilde{z}_2)}{\varpi(\tilde{z}_2)}, \quad (4.68)$$

where $\tilde{z}_2 = \tilde{z}_2(z_1, z_2)$ is given in (4.53), (4.54), and (4.55) respectively.

We can also show using (4.62), (4.63), (4.64), that the instanton part of the period ϕ_D can be written as a Seiberg–Witten period, where we have no need to introduce the

cut-off parameter ϵ in contrast to the case of ϕ :

$$\mathbf{E}_0 : -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{f_n^{(0)}}{\varpi^{(6)}} \right) (z_2) z_1^n = \int_0^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{1}{1+z_1} (\tilde{\tau} - \tau) \varpi^{(6)} \left(\frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^3} \right), \quad (4.69)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}} : -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{f_n^{(\bar{1})}}{\varpi^{(5)}} \right) (z_2) z_1^n = \int_0^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{1}{1+z_1} (\tilde{\tau} - \tau) \varpi^{(5)} \left(\frac{z_2}{(1+z_1)^2} \right), \quad (4.70)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{5,6,7,8} : -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{f_n^{(N)}}{\varpi^{(N)}} \right) (z_2) z_1^n = \int_0^{z_1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} (\tilde{\tau} - \tau) \varpi^{(N)}(z_2 - z_1 z_2). \quad (4.71)$$

Note that in particular (4.71) for the $\mathbf{E}_8$ model corresponds to the formula [29, (3.5)] obtained by direct evaluation of the Seiberg–Witten periods from the curve (9.6). The integration variable v there satisfies $E_4(\tilde{\tau})^3/E_6(\tilde{\tau})^2 = E_4(\tau)^3/(E_6(\tau)-v)^2$, from which we see the correspondence of the bare variables

$$v := \left(\frac{27}{\pi^6} \right) \frac{1}{u} = -2E_4(\tau)^{3/2} z_2 z_1 = [E_6(\tau) - E_4(\tau)^{3/2}] z_1.$$

5 Genus Zero Partition Functions

5.1 Recursion relations

In order to obtain the instanton expansion of the genus zero potential F_0 , we have to convert the two sequences of functions of z_2 :

$$c_n = \frac{\mathcal{L}_n \varpi}{\varpi}, \quad f_n = -(\varpi \mathcal{L}_n \varpi_D - \varpi_D \mathcal{L}_n \varpi)$$

into modular functions of Γ_{ell} , which is achieved by finding the recursion relations for $\{c_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$. To this end, let us make the ansatz $c_n(\tau) = B_n e_2(\tau) + D_n$, with $e_{2k} := E_{2k} \varpi^{-2k}$. It will become clear from the recursion relations that B_n, D_n, f_n are degree n polynomials in y , where $y = (1 - z_2)^{-1}$; in particular they are all *anomaly-free* modular functions of Γ_{ell} .

The equations (3.19) enable us to evaluate the following logarithmic derivatives that are indispensable to establish the recursion relations:

$$\Theta_2 \varpi = \frac{\varpi}{12} \{y e_2 - [(10 - N) - (9 - N)y]\}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\Theta_2(e_2 \varpi) = \frac{\varpi}{12} \{-y e_4 + e_2[(10 - N) - (9 - N)y]\}, \quad (5.2)$$

where ϖ is the fundamental period of the $\mathbf{E}_N$ elliptic curves with $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$.

Principal series For them, from the relation $(n+1)^2 \mathcal{L}_{n+1} = -n(\Theta_2 - n) \mathcal{L}_n$,

$$\varpi c_{n+1} = -\frac{n}{(n+1)^2} (\Theta_2 - n)(\varpi c_n).$$

Then the equations (5.1) and (5.2) yields the recursion relation for $\{c_n\}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{n+1} \\ D_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \left[y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} + \begin{pmatrix} D_1 - n & -B_1 \\ e_4 B_1 & -D_1 - n \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} B_n \\ D_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $e_4 = (16 - 16y^{-1} + y^{-2})$, $(9 - 8y^{-1})$, $(4 - 3y^{-1})$, 1, for $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$ respectively. Note that we have replaced Θ_2 by $y(y-1)d/dy$ in the recursion relation above because B_n and D_n depend on z_2 only through y .

Since $c_1 = -\Theta_2 \varpi / \varpi$, the first term (B_1, D_1) can be seen immediately from (5.1):

$$B_1 = -\frac{y}{12}, \quad D_1 = \frac{1}{12}[(10-N) - (9-N)y].$$

The recursion relation for $\{f_n\}$ can be obtained in a similar manner:

$$f_{n+1} = -\frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \left\{ \left[y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} - n + c_1 \right] f_n - f_1 c_n \right\}, \quad f_1 = y.$$

Furthermore $\{f_n\}$ and $\{c_n = B_n e_2 + D_n\}$ are related each other by

$$B_n = -\frac{1}{12} f_n, \quad D_n = \frac{1}{y} \left\{ \frac{(n+1)^2}{n} f_{n+1} + \left[y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} - n + D_1 \right] f_n \right\}.$$

Here we list the first few elements of $\{(B_n, D_n)\}$ only for the $\mathbf{E}_8$ model:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -\frac{1}{12} y, \quad D_1 = \frac{1}{12} (2 - y), \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{48} y(y-2), \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{144} (7 - 7y + 3y^2), \\ B_3 &= -\frac{1}{7776} y(211 - 211y + 72y^2), \quad D_3 = -\frac{1}{7776} (y-2)(72y^2 - 91y + 91), \\ B_4 &= \frac{1}{10368} y(y-2)(54y^2 - 103y + 103), \\ D_4 &= \frac{1}{124416} (1729 - 3458y + 4477y^2 - 2748y^3 + 648y^4). \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$ model The procedure to get the recursion relations for $\{c_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ are similar to the case of principal series. In this case the $\mathbf{E}_5$ torus is relevant.

Using $(n+1)^2 \mathcal{L}_{n+1} = -n(2\Theta_2 + n+1) \mathcal{L}_n$ we get the recursion relation for $\{c_n\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{n+1} \\ D_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \left[2y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} + \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + n + 2 & -B_1 \\ e_4 B_1 & -D_1 + n \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} B_n \\ D_n \end{pmatrix},$$

with $(B_1, D_1) = (-y/6, -(4y+1)/6)$ and $e_4 = (16 - 16y^{-1} + y^{-2})$.

The recursion relation for $\{f_n\}$ reads

$$f_{n+1} = -\frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \left\{ \left[2y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} + 2 + n + c_1 \right] f_n - f_1 c_n \right\}, \quad f_1 = 2y.$$

The relation between $\{f_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ is

$$B_n = -\frac{1}{12} f_n, \quad D_n = \frac{1}{2y} \left\{ \frac{(n+1)^2}{n} f_{n+1} + \left[2y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} + 2 + n + D_1 \right] f_n \right\}.$$

We list the first few members:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -\frac{1}{6} y, \quad D_1 = -\frac{1}{6} (1 + 4y), \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{24} y(2y+1), \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{24} (8y^2 + 1), \\ B_3 &= -\frac{1}{108} y(8y^2 + y + 2), \quad D_3 = -\frac{1}{108} (1 + 4y)(8y^2 - 5y + 2), \\ B_4 &= \frac{1}{288} y(24y^3 - 4y^2 + 2y + 3), \quad D_4 = \frac{1}{288} (96y^4 - 64y^3 + 7y^2 + 5y + 3). \end{aligned}$$

E_0 model This case has been analyzed in [18], which we briefly repeat here for convenience. Recall that underlying torus model is E_6 .

First, the relation among the operators $(n+1)^2 \mathcal{L}_{n+1} = -n(3\Theta_2 + n+1) \mathcal{L}_n$ yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{n+1} \\ D_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \left[3y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} + \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + n + 2 & -B_1 \\ e_4 B_1 & -D_1 + n \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} B_n \\ D_n \end{pmatrix},$$

with $(B_1, D_1) = (-y/4, -3y/4)$ and $e_4 = (9 - 8y^{-1})$.

The recursion relation for $\{f_n\}$ becomes

$$f_{n+1} = -\frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \left\{ \left[3y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} + n + 2 + c_1 \right] f_n - c_n f_1 \right\}, \quad f_1 = 3y,$$

with the relation between $\{f_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$

$$B_n = -\frac{1}{12} f_n, \quad D_n = \frac{1}{3y} \left\{ \frac{(n+1)^2}{n} f_{n+1} + \left[3y(y-1) \frac{d}{dy} + n + 2 + D_1 \right] f_n \right\}.$$

The first few members of $\{(B_n, D_n)\}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -\frac{1}{4}y, \quad D_1 = -\frac{3}{4}y, \quad B_2 = \frac{3}{16}y^2, \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{16}y(9y-4), \\ B_3 &= -\frac{1}{72}y^2(18y-7), \quad D_3 = -\frac{1}{72}y(54y^2-45y+4), \\ B_4 &= \frac{1}{192}y^2(27y-2)(3y-2), \quad D_4 = \frac{1}{192}y^2(243y^2-288y+68). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have observed for any of the six models that

$$\frac{f_n(y)}{\varpi(z_2)^2} = -12 \frac{\partial c_n(\tau)}{\partial E_2(\tau)}, \quad (5.3)$$

which turns out to be of fundamental importance both for the instanton expansion and for the investigation of the modular anomaly equations of the genus zero and one Gromov–Witten potentials below.

5.2 Instanton expansion

The instanton expansion of the genus zero potential F_0 is obtained by conversion of z_1 and z_2 in F_0 to the function of $q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $p := e^{2\pi i \sigma}$. We define the genus zero Gromov–Witten invariant $N_{0;n,m} \in \mathbf{Q}$ of bidegree (n, m) by the q -expansion of $Z_{0;n}(\tau)$

$$Z_{0;n}(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{0;n,m} q^m. \quad (5.4)$$

We find a useful expression of the genus zero potential:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \sigma} \right) = \Theta_p F_0 = 12h \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial E_2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial z_1} \right), \quad (5.5)$$

which follows from the fact that $\tilde{p}$ does not depend on E_2 :

$$\left(\frac{d\tilde{p}}{dE_2} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial E_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial z_1} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial c_n}{\partial E_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial c_n} \right) = 0,$$

because $\tilde{p} = p\psi(\tau)$ with $\psi(\tau)$ anomaly-free, and the substitution of the identity (5.3).

Then we see from the expansion of the right hand side of (5.5) that the general form of the partition function $Z_{0;n}(\tau)$ reads

$$Z_{0;1} = (9 - N) \left(\frac{y\psi}{\varpi^2} \right), \quad N = 0, \tilde{1}, 5, 6, 7, 8, \quad (5.6)$$

$$Z_{0;n} = (Z_{0;1})^n \varpi^{2(n-1)} \mathcal{P}_{0;n-1}(e_2, y^{-1}), \quad (5.7)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{0,n-1}$ is a degree $n-1$ polynomial in $e_2 = E_2(\tau)/\varpi(z_2)^2$ and $y^{-1} = 1 - z_2$. The relation between $Z_{0;1}$ and the special value of the $\mathbf{E}_8$ theta function prescribed by the Kähler class $J^{(N)}$ defined in (4.17)–(4.21) will be discussed later.

As space is limited, we give only the first four terms of the p -expansions of (5.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_p F_0^{(0)} &= 9 \frac{y\tilde{p}}{\varpi^2} + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{\varpi^2} \frac{9}{4} e_2 + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{32} \left(27e_2^2 + 45 - \frac{40}{y} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{32} \left[12e_2^3 + e_2 \left(45 - \frac{40}{y} \right) - 27 + \frac{36}{y} - \frac{8}{y^2} \right], \\
\Theta_p F_0^{(1)} &= 8 \frac{y\tilde{p}}{\varpi^2} + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{\varpi^2} \frac{2}{3} \left(2e_2 + 2 - \frac{1}{y} \right) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{9} \left[3e_2^2 + e_2 \left(6 - \frac{3}{y} \right) + 8 - \frac{8}{y} + \frac{2}{y^2} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{162} \left[16e_2^3 + e_2^2 \left(48 - \frac{24}{y} \right) + e_2 \left(108 - \frac{108}{y} + \frac{27}{y^2} \right) + 40 - \frac{60}{y} + \frac{48}{y^2} - \frac{14}{y^3} \right], \\
\Theta_p F_0^{(5)} &= 4 \frac{y\tilde{p}}{\varpi^2} + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{3} \left(e_2 + 1 + \frac{1}{y} \right) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{72} \left[3e_2^2 + e_2 \left(6 + \frac{6}{y} \right) + 8 + \frac{4}{y} + \frac{5}{y^2} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{648} \left[4e_2^3 + e_2^2 \left(12 + \frac{12}{y} \right) + e_2 \left(27 + \frac{18}{y} + \frac{18}{y^2} \right) + 10 + \frac{39}{y} + \frac{12}{y^2} + \frac{10}{y^3} \right], \\
\Theta_p F_0^{(6)} &= 3 \frac{y\tilde{p}}{\varpi^2} + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{4} \left(e_2 + \frac{2}{y} \right) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{864} \left(27e_2^2 + 108 \frac{e_2}{y} + 45 - \frac{4}{y} + \frac{112}{y^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{2592} \left[12e_2^3 + 72 \frac{e_2^2}{y} + e_2 \left(45 - \frac{4}{y} + \frac{148}{y^2} \right) - 27 + \frac{144}{y} - \frac{8}{y^2} + \frac{104}{y^3} \right], \\
\Theta_p F_0^{(7)} &= 2 \frac{y\tilde{p}}{\varpi^2} + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{6} \left(e_2 - 1 + \frac{3}{y} \right) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{288} \left[6e_2^2 - e_2 \left(12 - \frac{36}{y} \right) + 16 - \frac{33}{y} + \frac{51}{y^2} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{2592} \left[8e_2^3 - e_2^2 \left(24 - \frac{72}{y} \right) + e_2 \left(54 - \frac{135}{y} + \frac{207}{y^2} \right) - 56 + \frac{189}{y} - \frac{180}{y^2} + \frac{189}{y^3} \right], \\
\Theta_p F_0^{(8)} &= \frac{y\tilde{p}}{\varpi^2} + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{12} \left(e_2 - 2 + \frac{4}{y} \right) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{2592} \left[27e_2^2 - e_2 \left(108 - \frac{216}{y} \right) + 153 - \frac{394}{y} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{394}{y^2} \right] + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{\varpi^2} \frac{1}{7776} \left[12e_2^3 - e_2^2 \left(72 - \frac{144}{y} \right) + e_2 \left(189 - \frac{538}{y} + \frac{538}{y^2} \right) - 213 + \frac{734}{y} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{924}{y^2} + \frac{616}{y^3} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

At this point it would be helpful to mention in advance the general form of higher genus Gromov–Witten partition functions $Z_{g;n}(\tau)$, which is indeed predicted from the modular anomaly equation (2.17),

$$Z_{g;n} = (Z_{0;1})^n \varpi^{2(g+n-1)} \mathcal{P}_{g;g+n-1}(e_2, y^{-1}), \quad (5.8)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{g;g+n-1}$ is a degree $g+n-1$ polynomial in e_2 and y^{-1} .

5.3 Partition functions and modular forms

It is of primary importance to ensure the relation between the singly wound partition function $Z_{0;1}(\tau)$ and the $\mathbf{E}_8$ theta function (2.14) as a consistency check of our formalism.

The specializations of the $\mathbf{E}_8$ theta function to the Kähler moduli of the $\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}}$ and $\mathbf{E}_5$ models, for example, are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1 : \Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(4\tau|\omega_2\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}q^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sum_{a=2,3} \vartheta_a(4\tau|\frac{\tau}{2})^8 - \sum_{b=1,4} \vartheta_b(4\tau|\frac{\tau}{2})^8 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}q^{-1} \left(\sum_{a=2,3} \vartheta_a(4\tau)^2 \vartheta_a(4\tau|\tau)^6 - \vartheta_4(4\tau)^2 \vartheta_4(4\tau|\tau)^6 \right), \\ \mathbf{E}_5 : \Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(4\tau|\omega_5\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}q^{-1} \left(\sum_{a=2,3} \vartheta_a(4\tau)^4 \vartheta_a(4\tau|\tau)^4 - \vartheta_4(4\tau)^4 \vartheta_4(4\tau|\tau)^4 \right).\end{aligned}$$

We find that $Z_{0;1}$ can indeed be written by the E_8 theta function with the prescribed Kähler class $J^{(N)}$ as predicted in (2.14), in addition to the fact that it admits a concise description by the Dedekind eta function:

$$Z_{0;1}^{(0)}(\tau) = 9 \left(\frac{y\psi}{\varpi^2} \right)^{(0)}(z_2) = 9q^{\frac{1}{6}} \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^4} = Z_{0;1}(3\tau|\omega_8\tau), \quad (5.9)$$

$$Z_{0;1}^{(\bar{1})}(\tau) = 8 \left(\frac{y\psi}{\varpi^2} \right)^{(\bar{1})}(z_2) = 8q^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^2 \eta(2\tau)^2} = Z_{0;1}(4\tau|\omega_2\tau), \quad (5.10)$$

$$Z_{0;1}^{(5)}(\tau) = 4 \left(\frac{y\psi}{\varpi^2} \right)^{(5)}(z_2) = 4q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\varpi^{(5)}(z_2)}{\eta(2\tau)^6} = Z_{0;1}(4\tau|\omega_5\tau), \quad (5.11)$$

$$Z_{0;1}^{(6)}(\tau) = 3 \left(\frac{y\psi}{\varpi^2} \right)^{(6)}(z_2) = 3q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\varpi^{(6)}(z_2)}{\eta(\tau)^3 \eta(3\tau)^3} = Z_{0;1}(3\tau|\omega_6\tau), \quad (5.12)$$

$$Z_{0;1}^{(7)}(\tau) = 2 \left(\frac{y\psi}{\varpi^2} \right)^{(7)}(z_2) = 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\varpi^{(7)}(z_2)^2}{\eta(\tau)^4 \eta(2\tau)^4} = Z_{0;1}(2\tau|\omega_7\tau), \quad (5.13)$$

$$Z_{0;1}^{(8)}(\tau) = \left(\frac{y\psi}{\varpi_0^2} \right)^{(8)}(z_2) = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\varpi^{(8)}(z_2)^4}{\eta(\tau)^{12}} = Z_{0;1}(\tau|0). \quad (5.14)$$

$Z_{0;1}(\tau)$ of the $\mathbf{E}_3$ and the $\mathbf{E}_4$ model calculated from the $\mathbf{E}_8$ theta function using (2.14)

can also be expressed by the eta functions:

$$\mathbf{E}_3 : \frac{\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(6\tau|\omega_3\tau)}{\varphi(6\tau)^{12}} = \frac{6q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)\eta(6\tau)}, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_4 : \frac{\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(5\tau|\omega_4\tau)}{\varphi(5\tau)^{12}} = \frac{5q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta(\tau)^2\eta(5\tau)^2}. \quad (5.16)$$

As for partition functions of multiple winding number, we here give the expressions of those in terms of modular forms only for the $\mathbf{E}_7$ and $\mathbf{E}_8$ models; the latter has originally been obtained in [29].

$\mathbf{E}_7$ model Let $\chi := Z_{0;1}^{(7)}/A = 2q^{1/2}/(\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau))^4$, see (7.1).

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0;1}^{(7)} &= \chi A, \\ Z_{0;2}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^2}{48} A(AE_2 - A^2 + 3B), \\ Z_{0;3}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^3}{6912} A(6E_2^2A^2 - 12E_2A^3 + 36E_2AB + 16A^4 - 33A^2B + 51B^2), \\ Z_{0;4}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^4}{165888} A(-56A^6 + 189A^4B - 180B^2A^2 + 189B^3 + 8E_2^3A^3 - 24E_2^2A^4 + 72E_2^2A^2B \\ &\quad + 54E_2A^5 - 135E_2A^3B + 207E_2AB^2), \\ Z_{0;5}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^5}{1990656000} A(123328A^8 - 514272A^6B + 858987A^4B^2 + 6250A^4E_2^4 - 25000A^5E_2^3 \\ &\quad + 406215B^4 - 585000A^3E_2B^2 + 499500A^5E_2B + 607500AE_2B^3 - 213750A^4E_2^2B \\ &\quad + 326250A^2E_2^2B^2 - 508680A^2B^3 - 136000A^7E_2 + 75000A^3E_2^3B + 75000A^6E_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{E}_8$ model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0;1}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{\varphi^{12}} E_4, \\ Z_{0;2}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{24\varphi^{24}} E_4(2E_6 + E_2E_4), \\ Z_{0;3}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{15552\varphi^{36}} E_4(109E_4^3 + 197E_6^2 + 216E_2E_4E_6 + 54E_2^2E_4^2), \\ Z_{0;4}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{62208\varphi^{48}} E_4(272E_4^3E_6 + 154E_6^3 + 109E_2E_4^4 + 269E_2E_4E_6^2 + 144E_2^2E_4^2E_6 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 24E_2^3E_4^3), \\
Z_{0;5}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{37324800\varphi^{60}} E_4(426250E_2^2E_4^2E_6^2 + 150000E_2^3E_4^3E_6 + 207505E_6^4 + 136250E_2^2E_4^5 \\
& + 772460E_4^3E_6^2 + 116769E_4^6 + 18750E_2^4E_4^4 + 653000E_2E_4^4E_6 + 505000E_2E_4E_6^3).
\end{aligned}$$

5.4 Modular anomaly equation

We are now ready to give the modular anomaly equation, which determines the E_2 dependence of the genus zero partition function $Z_{0;n}(\tau)$ closely following [29, 18].

First by differentiation of (4.52) with respect to $E_2(\tau)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial E_2} (\Theta_p F_0) = h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{\varpi^2} n z_1^{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial E_2} \right).$$

Then the substitution of (5.5) to the above equation brings about

$$\Theta_p \left[24h \left(\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial E_2} \right) - (\Theta_p F_0)^2 \right] = 0.$$

Eventually we arrive at the modular anomaly equation for genus zero:

$$h \left(\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial E_2} \right) = \frac{1}{24} (\Theta_p F_0)^2.$$

Using the definition of the potential $F_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_{0;n}(\tau) p^n$, the anomaly equation can be rewritten as

$$h \frac{\partial Z_{0;n}(\tau)}{\partial E_2(\tau)} = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k(n-k) Z_{0;k}(\tau) Z_{0;n-k}(\tau),$$

which is consistent with the general form of the anomaly equation (2.17). The appearance of the normalization factor h (4.52) in the left hand side is explained by the fact that $hE_2(h\tau) - E_2(\tau)$ is an anomaly-free modular form of $\Gamma_0(h)$.

5.5 Rational instanton numbers

We denote the genus zero instanton number of bidegree (n, m) by $N_{0;n,m}^{\text{inst}}$, which counts the ‘number’ of the rational curves of a given degree in the almost del Pezzo surface B_9 ,

and we define its generating function with fixed n by

$$Z_{0;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{0;n,m}^{\text{inst}} q^m. \quad (5.17)$$

It is well-known that the genus zero multiple covering formula found in [5] leads to the following decomposition of the genus zero Gromov–Witten partition function:

$$Z_{0;n}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} k^{-3} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{inst}}(k\tau). \quad (5.18)$$

We can invert this equation using the Möbius function $\mu : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \{0, \pm 1\}$ as

$$Z_{0;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) k^{-3} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{inst}}(k\tau). \quad (5.19)$$

Recall that the Möbius function μ is defined as follows: $\mu(1) = 1$, $\mu(n) = (-1)^l$ if n is factorized into l distinct primes, and $\mu(n) = 0$ if n is not square-free.

We will give the first few terms of the expansions of $Z_{0;n}^{\text{inst}}$, for each models below.

E_5 model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0;1}^{\text{inst}} &= 4 + 16q + 40q^2 + 96q^3 + 220q^4 + 464q^5 + 920q^6 + 1760q^7 + 3276q^8 + 5920q^9 \\ &\quad + 10408q^{10} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0;2}^{\text{inst}} &= -20q^2 - 128q^3 - 608q^4 - 2304q^5 - 7672q^6 - 23040q^7 - 64256q^8 - 168448q^9 \\ &\quad - 419908q^{10} - \dots, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0;3}^{\text{inst}} &= 48q^3 + 588q^4 + 4224q^5 + 23112q^6 + 105888q^7 + 426624q^8 + 1557216q^9 \\ &\quad + 5250816q^{10} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0;4}^{\text{inst}} &= -192q^4 - 3328q^5 - 32224q^6 - 230400q^7 - 1346944q^8 - 6802432q^9 \\ &\quad - 30669248q^{10} - \dots, \end{aligned}$$

$$Z_{0;5}^{\text{inst}} = 960q^5 + 21320q^6 + 260320q^7 + 2298680q^8 + 16354800q^9 + 99283840q^{10} + \dots.$$

E_6 model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0;1}^{\text{inst}} &= 3 + 27q + 81q^2 + 255q^3 + 702q^4 + 1701q^5 + 3930q^6 + 8721q^7 + 18225q^8 \\ &\quad + 37056q^9 + 73116q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{0;2}^{\text{inst}} &= -54q^2 - 492q^3 - 3078q^4 - 14904q^5 - 61320q^6 - 224532q^7 - 751788q^8 \\ &\quad - 2337264q^9 - 6844338q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{0;3}^{\text{inst}} &= 243q^3 + 4131q^4 + 40095q^5 + 287307q^6 + 1683018q^7 + 8515449q^8 \\ &\quad + 38457585q^9 + 158463702q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{0;4}^{\text{inst}} &= -1728q^4 - 42120q^5 - 559920q^6 - 5344920q^7 - 40835664q^8 \\ &\quad - 264772872q^9 - 1510286688q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{0;5}^{\text{inst}} &= 15255q^5 + 483585q^6 + 8191530q^7 + 97962210q^8 + 925275420q^9 \\ &\quad + 7332946200q^{10} + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

E_7 model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0;1}^{\text{inst}} &= 2 + 56q + 276q^2 + 1360q^3 + 4718q^4 + 15960q^5 + 46284q^6 + 130064q^7 \\ &\quad + 334950q^8 + 837872q^9 + 1980756q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{0;2}^{\text{inst}} &= -272q^2 - 4544q^3 - 46416q^4 - 335744q^5 - 2008480q^6 - 10255104q^7 \\ &\quad - 46868416q^8 - 194576128q^9 - 749189328q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{0;3}^{\text{inst}} &= 3240q^3 + 100134q^4 + 1649088q^5 + 18786852q^6 + 168160176q^7 \\ &\quad + 1255563072q^8 + 8154689040q^9 + 47265867648q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{0;4}^{\text{inst}} &= -58432q^4 - 2633088q^5 - 60949696q^6 - 960253440q^7 \\ &\quad - 11638833216q^8 - 115871533568q^9 - 988372855168q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{0;5}^{\text{inst}} &= 1303840q^5 + 77380260q^6 + 2323737360q^7 + 47046026140q^8 \\ &\quad + 724935311560q^9 + 9088122264000q^{10} + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

E_8 model

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{0;1}^{\text{inst}} &= 1 + 252 q + 5130 q^2 + 54760 q^3 + 419895 q^4 + 2587788 q^5 + 13630694 q^6 \\
&\quad + 63618120 q^7 + 269531955 q^8 + 1054198840 q^9 + 3854102058 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{0;2}^{\text{inst}} &= -9252 q^2 - 673760 q^3 - 20534040 q^4 - 389320128 q^5 - 5398936120 q^6 \\
&\quad - 59651033472 q^7 - 553157438400 q^8 - 4456706505600 q^9 \\
&\quad - 31967377104276 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{0;3}^{\text{inst}} &= 848628 q^3 + 115243155 q^4 + 6499779552 q^5 + 219488049810 q^6 \\
&\quad + 5218126709400 q^7 + 95602979109024 q^8 + 1428776049708360 q^9 \\
&\quad + 18102884896663488 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{0;4}^{\text{inst}} &= -114265008 q^4 - 23064530112 q^5 - 1972983690880 q^6 - 100502238355200 q^7 \\
&\quad - 3554323792345440 q^8 - 95341997143018752 q^9 \\
&\quad - 2053905830285978880 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{0;5}^{\text{inst}} &= 18958064400 q^5 + 5105167984850 q^6 + 594537323257800 q^7 \\
&\quad + 41416214037843150 q^8 + 1996136210493389700 q^9 \\
&\quad + 72464241398191308000 q^{10} + \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for these principal series, $N_{0;n,n}^{\text{inst}}$ coincides with the genus zero, degree n instanton number of the local $\mathbf{E}_N$ del Pezzo model computed in [23, 24].

E_0 model

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{0;1}^{\text{inst}} &= 9 + 36 q + 126 q^2 + 360 q^3 + 945 q^4 + 2268 q^5 + 5166 q^6 + 11160 q^7 \\
&\quad + 23220 q^8 + 46620 q^9 + 90972 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{0;2}^{\text{inst}} &= -18 q - 252 q^2 - 1728 q^3 - 9000 q^4 - 38808 q^5 - 147384 q^6 - 506880 q^7 \\
&\quad - 1613088 q^8 - 4813380 q^9 - 13609476 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{0;3}^{\text{inst}} &= 3 q + 252 q^2 + 4158 q^3 + 40173 q^4 + 287415 q^5 + 1683450 q^6 + 8516418 q^7 \\
&\quad + 38458233 q^8 + 158467806 q^9 + 605183100 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{0;4}^{\text{inst}} &= -144 q^2 - 6048 q^3 - 107280 q^4 - 1235520 q^5 - 10796544 q^6 - 77538240 q^7
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 479682720 q^8 - 2635776000 q^9 - 13140695232 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{0;5}^{\text{inst}} &= 45 q^2 + 5670 q^3 + 189990 q^4 + 3508920 q^5 + 45151335 q^6 + 452510730 q^7 \\
& + 3763732545 q^8 + 27047637540 q^9 + 172619569800 q^{10} + \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

We have checked that $\{N_{0;3n,n}^{\text{inst}}\} = \{3, -6, 27, -192, 1695, \dots\}$ coincides with the genus zero, degree n instanton number of the local $\mathbf{P}^2$ model [23, 24].

$E_{\bar{1}}$ model

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{0;1}^{\text{inst}} &= 8 + 16 q + 56 q^2 + 112 q^3 + 280 q^4 + 528 q^5 + 1120 q^6 + 2016 q^7 + 3880 q^8 \\
& + 6720 q^9 + 12096 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{0;2}^{\text{inst}} &= -4 q - 56 q^2 - 280 q^3 - 1232 q^4 - 4212 q^5 - 13544 q^6 - 38584 q^7 - 105200 q^8 \\
& - 266696 q^9 - 653400 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{0;3}^{\text{inst}} &= 24 q^2 + 336 q^3 + 2688 q^4 + 15360 q^5 + 73584 q^6 + 303744 q^7 + 1137192 q^8 \\
& + 3897648 q^9 + 12515112 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{0;4}^{\text{inst}} &= -4 q^2 - 224 q^3 - 3472 q^4 - 32704 q^5 - 232280 q^6 - 1351040 q^7 - 6818336 q^8 \\
& - 30695296 q^9 - 126302196 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{0;5}^{\text{inst}} &= 80 q^3 + 2800 q^4 + 44800 q^5 + 477160 q^6 + 3892240 q^7 + 26296560 q^8 \\
& + 153653920 q^9 + 800623600 q^{10} + \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

We have checked that $\{N_{0;2n,n}^{\text{inst}}\} = \{-4, -4, -12, -48, -240, -1356, -8428, \dots\}$ coincides with the genus zero, degree n instanton number of the local $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ [6].

6 Genus One Partition Functions

6.1 Genus one potentials

In general, the determination of the genus one potential F_1 of a Calabi–Yau threefold X requires the knowledge of the discriminant loci of the Picard–Fuchs system, which represent the singularities of the mirror complex moduli space $\mathcal{M}(X^*)$, and the identification of the power indices associated with each of the irreducible components of the discriminant loci [2, 16].

For our case of the local $\mathbf{E}_9$ almost del Pezzo models, we find the following answers for the instanton parts:

$$\mathbf{E}_0 : F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left[\left(\frac{[(1+z_1)^3 - z_2]}{(1-z_2)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \tilde{p}} \right) \right], \quad (6.1)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\tilde{1}} : F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left[\left(\frac{[(1+z_1)^2 - z_2]}{(1-z_2)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} (1+z_1)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \tilde{p}} \right) \right], \quad (6.2)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{5,6,7,8} : F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left[\left(\frac{(1-z_2+z_1 z_2)}{(1-z_2)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} (1-z_1)^{-\frac{9-N}{6}} \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \tilde{p}} \right) \right]. \quad (6.3)$$

where $\tilde{p} := p\psi(\tau) = e^{2\pi i \sigma} \psi(\tau)$. The following identity will be used later:

$$\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n c_n(\tau) z_1^n \right) \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \tilde{p}} \right) = \exp \left(- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(\tau) z_1^n \right). \quad (6.4)$$

It would suffice here to list the first four terms of the p -expansions of F_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(0)} &= \frac{(y\tilde{p})}{4}(e_2 + 2) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{64}(5e_2^2 + 8e_2 + 3 + \frac{8}{y}) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{1152}[39e_2^3 + 54e_2^2 + e_2(117 - \frac{8}{y}) \\ &\quad + 54 + \frac{32}{y^2}] + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{18432}[309e_2^4 + 384e_2^3 + e_2^2(1746 - \frac{784}{y}) - e_2(72 - \frac{1120}{y} - \frac{64}{y^2}) \\ &\quad + 513 - \frac{144}{y} + \frac{320}{y^2}], \\ F_1^{(\tilde{1})} &= \frac{(y\tilde{p})}{6}(e_2 + 3) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{144}[5e_2^2 + e_2(18 - \frac{3}{y}) + 16 + \frac{8}{y} + \frac{4}{y^2}] \\ &\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{1296}[13e_2^3 + e_2^2(57 - \frac{15}{y}) + e_2(114 - \frac{33}{y} + \frac{24}{y^2}) + 88 - \frac{20}{y^3} + \frac{84}{y^2} - \frac{24}{y}] \\ &\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{31104}[103e_2^4 + e_2^3(540 - \frac{174}{y}) + e_2^2(1584 - \frac{864}{y} + \frac{324}{y^2}) \\ &\quad + e_2(1936 + \frac{1560}{y^2} - \frac{404}{y^3} - \frac{1176}{y}) + 960 - \frac{384}{y} + \frac{1854}{y^2} - \frac{966}{y^3} + \frac{291}{y^4}], \\ F_1^{(5)} &= \frac{y\tilde{p}}{12}(e_2 + 3) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{576}[5e_2^2 + e_2(18 + \frac{6}{y}) + 16 + \frac{32}{y} + \frac{19}{y^2}] \\ &\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{10368}[13e_2^3 + e_2^2(57 + \frac{30}{y}) + e_2(114 + \frac{138}{y} + \frac{87}{y^2}) + 88 + \frac{156}{y} + \frac{417}{y^2} + \frac{52}{y^3}] \\ &\quad + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{497664}[103e_2^4 + e_2^3(540 + \frac{348}{y}) + e_2^2(1584 + \frac{1656}{y} + \frac{1062}{y^2}) \\ &\quad + e_2(1936 + \frac{4152}{y} + \frac{6276}{y^2} + \frac{1252}{y^3}) + 960 + \frac{3648}{y} + \frac{9198}{y^2} + \frac{7836}{y^3} + \frac{921}{y^4}], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^{(6)} &= \frac{y\tilde{p}}{12}(e_2 + 2) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{576} \left[5e_2^2 + e_2 \left(8 + \frac{12}{y} \right) + 3 + \frac{28}{y} + \frac{16}{y^2} \right] \\
&+ \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{31104} \left[39e_2^3 + e_2^2 \left(54 + \frac{180}{y} \right) + e_2 \left(117 + \frac{316}{y} + \frac{368}{y^2} \right) + 54 + \frac{216}{y} + \frac{992}{y^2} + \frac{256}{y^3} \right] \\
&+ \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{1492992} \left[309e_2^4 + e_2^3 \left(384 + \frac{2088}{y} \right) + e_2^2 \left(1746 + \frac{3032}{y} + \frac{6112}{y^2} \right) \right. \\
&\left. + e_2 \left(-72 + \frac{8536}{y} + \frac{14496}{y^2} + \frac{8384}{y^3} \right) + 513 + \frac{2664}{y} + \frac{14720}{y^2} + \frac{25792}{y^3} + \frac{4608}{y^4} \right], \\
F_1^{(7)} &= \frac{y\tilde{p}}{12}(e_2 + 1) + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{1152} \left[10e_2^2 - e_2 \left(4 - \frac{36}{y} \right) + \frac{27}{y} + \frac{27}{y^2} \right] \\
&+ \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{20736} \left[26e_2^3 - e_2^2 \left(42 - \frac{180}{y} \right) + e_2 \left(84 - \frac{81}{y} + \frac{387}{y^2} \right) - 32 + \frac{99}{y} + \frac{315}{y^2} + \frac{216}{y^3} \right], \\
&+ \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{3981312} \left[824e_2^4 - e_2^3 \left(2272 - \frac{8352}{y} \right) + e_2^2 \left(6528 - \frac{13176}{y} + \frac{30312}{y^2} \right) \right. \\
&\left. - e_2 \left(9728 - \frac{32112}{y} + \frac{8640}{y^2} - \frac{44496}{y^3} \right) + 6016 - \frac{18720}{y} + \frac{30627}{y^2} - \frac{39474}{y^3} + \frac{19683}{y^4} \right], \\
F_1^{(8)} &= \frac{y\tilde{p}}{12}e_2 + \frac{(y\tilde{p})^2}{576} \left[5e_2^2 - e_2 \left(12 - \frac{24}{y} \right) + 7 - \frac{10}{y} + \frac{10}{y^2} \right] \\
&+ \frac{(y\tilde{p})^3}{31104} \left[39e_2^3 - e_2^2 \left(180 - \frac{360}{y} \right) + e_2 \left(369 - \frac{878}{y} + \frac{878}{y^2} \right) - 276 + \frac{712}{y} - \frac{480}{y} + \frac{320}{y^3} \right] \\
&+ \frac{(y\tilde{p})^4}{1492992} \left[309e_2^4 - e_2^3 \left(2088 - \frac{4176}{y} \right) + e_2^2 \left(6858 - \frac{18724}{y} + \frac{18724}{y^2} \right) \right. \\
&\left. - e_2 \left(12336 - \frac{39632}{y} + \frac{44880}{y^2} - \frac{29920}{y^3} \right) + 9513 - \frac{33420}{y} + \frac{42510}{y^2} - \frac{18180}{y^3} + \frac{9090}{y^4} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we recall $\tilde{p} = p\psi(\tau)$. We see that the genus one Gromov–Witten partition function $Z_{1;n}$ takes the form predicted in (5.8), that is,

$$Z_{1;n} = (Z_{0;1})^n \varpi^{2n} \mathcal{P}_{1;n}(e_2, y^{-1}),$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{1;n}$ is a degree n polynomial in e_2 and y^{-1} .

We will give the partition functions only for the $\mathbf{E}_7$ and $\mathbf{E}_8$ models below.

$\mathbf{E}_7$ model

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1;1}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi}{24} A(E_2 + A), \\
Z_{1;2}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^2}{4608} (10E_2^2 A^2 + 36E_2 AB - 4E_2 A^3 + 27B^2 + 27A^2 B),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1;3}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^3}{165888}(26E_2^3A^3 - 42E_2^2A^4 + 180E_2^2A^2B - 81E_2A^3B + 387E_2AB^2 + 84E_2A^5 \\
&\quad + 99A^4B + 216B^3 + 315B^2A^2 - 32A^6), \\
Z_{1;4}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^4}{63700992}(824A^4E_2^4 + 8352A^3E_2^3B - 2272A^5E_2^3 + 30312A^2E_2^2B^2 - 13176A^4E_2^2B \\
&\quad + 6528A^6E_2^2 - 9728A^7E_2 + 44496AE_2B^3 - 8640A^3E_2B^2 + 32112A^5E_2B + 6016A^8 \\
&\quad + 19683B^4 + 30627A^4B^2 - 18720A^6B + 39474A^2B^3), \\
Z_{1;5}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^5}{9555148800}(10970A^5E_2^5 + 145800A^4E_2^4B - 42350A^6E_2^4 - 1055250A^4E_2^2B^2 \\
&\quad + 748350A^3E_2^3B^2 + 151200A^7E_2^3 - 345800A^8E_2^2 + 1240650A^6E_2^2B - 389250A^5E_2^3B \\
&\quad + 3230811A^5E_2B^2 - 1961316A^7BE_2 + 507584A^9E_2 + 2008395AE_2B^4 - 340032A^{10} \\
&\quad + 1930635A^2B^4 + 729000B^5 + 2538540A^4B^3 - 2195397A^6B^2 + 1432080A^8B \\
&\quad + 1817100A^2E_2^2B^3 - 208440A^3E_2B^3).
\end{aligned}$$

E_8 model

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1;1}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{12\varphi^{12}}E_2E_4, \\
Z_{1;2}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{1152\varphi^{24}}(9E_4^3 + 24E_2E_4E_6 + 10E_2^2E_4^2 + 5E_6^2), \\
Z_{1;3}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{62208\varphi^{36}}(472E_4^3E_6 + 80E_6^3 + 299E_2E_4^4 + 439E_2E_4E_6^2 + 360E_2^2E_4^2E_6 + 78E_2^3E_4^3), \\
Z_{1;4}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{11943936\varphi^{48}}(37448E_2^2E_4^2E_6^2 + 68768E_2E_4^4E_6 + 29920E_2E_4E_6^3 + 13809E_4^6 \\
&\quad + 57750E_4^3E_6^2 + 17416E_2^2E_4^5 + 4545E_6^6 + 16704E_2^3E_4^3E_6 + 2472E_2^4E_4^4), \\
Z_{1;5}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{895795200\varphi^{60}}(4102280E_2E_4^4E_6^2 + 808765E_2E_4E_6^4 + 1378600E_2^2E_4^2E_6^3 + 103760E_6^5 \\
&\quad + 2111000E_2^2E_4^5E_6 + 951950E_2^3E_4^3E_6^2 + 720057E_2E_4^7 + 338950E_2^3E_4^6 + 1749528E_4^4E_6 \\
&\quad + 32910E_2^5E_4^5 + 2340520E_4^3E_6^3 + 291600E_2^4E_4^4E_6).
\end{aligned}$$

6.2 Modular anomaly equation

To get the modular anomaly equation of genus one [18], we have only to notice that the genus one potential F_1 has $E_2(\tau)$ -dependence both through z_1 and through $c_n(\tau)$, where we are considering $(\partial z_1/\partial \tilde{p})$ as a function of z_1 and c_n by (6.4).

The contribution of the former to the derivative $(\partial F_1/\partial E_2)$ is

$$\left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial E_2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_1}\right) = \frac{1}{12h}(\Theta_p z_1)(\Theta_p F_1),$$

where we have used (5.5), while the latter

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial E_2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial c_m}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}c_n z_1^n + \log(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n c_n z_1^n)\right) = \frac{1}{24h}\Theta_p(\Theta_p + 1)F_0.$$

Then we see that the anomaly equation for genus one takes the following form:

$$h\left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial E_2}\right) = \frac{1}{12}(\Theta_p F_0)(\Theta_p F_1) + \frac{1}{24}\Theta_p(\Theta_p + 1)F_0, \quad (6.5)$$

which can be rewritten in terms of the Gromov–Witten partition functions as

$$h\frac{\partial Z_{1;n}(\tau)}{\partial E_2(\tau)} = \frac{1}{24}\sum_{h=0}^1\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}k(n-k)Z_{h;k}(\tau)Z_{1-h;n-k}(\tau) + \frac{1}{24}n(n+1)Z_{0;n}(\tau),$$

which takes the form just predicted in (2.17).

6.3 Elliptic instanton numbers

Let $N_{1;n,m}^{\text{inst}} \in \mathbf{Z}$ be the genus one instanton number of bidegree (n, m) , and

$$Z_{1;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{1;n,m}^{\text{inst}} q^m \quad (6.6)$$

be its generating function. According to [2], we have the following decomposition of the genus one Gromov–Witten partition function:

$$Z_{1;n}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} \left(\sigma_{-1}(k) Z_{1;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{inst}}(k\tau) + \frac{1}{12} k^{-1} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{inst}}(k\tau) \right). \quad (6.7)$$

The inversion of this equation is given by

$$Z_{1;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} \left(a_{-1}(k) Z_{1;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) - \frac{1}{12} a_{-3}(k) Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) \right), \quad (6.8)$$

where we have introduced the arithmetic functions $a_l(n) := \sum_{m|n} \mu(m) \mu(n/m) m^l$.

We give the generating functions of the genus one instanton numbers for each model.

E_5 model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1;1}^{\text{inst}} &= -8q^4 - 32q^5 - 80q^6 - 192q^7 - 464q^8 - 1024q^9 - 2080q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;2}^{\text{inst}} &= 18q^4 + 192q^5 + 1040q^6 + 4352q^7 + 15752q^8 + 51328q^9 + 153448q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{1;3}^{\text{inst}} &= -16q^4 - 384q^5 - 3920q^6 - 26848q^7 - 145440q^8 - 671936q^9 \\ &\quad - 2754816q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;4}^{\text{inst}} &= 5q^4 + 320q^5 + 6320q^6 + 71168q^7 + 577264q^8 + 3758848q^9 + 20853184q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{1;5}^{\text{inst}} &= -96q^5 - 4640q^6 - 93056q^7 - 1170496q^8 - 10922336q^9 - 82513280q^{10} - \dots. \end{aligned}$$

E_6 model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1;1}^{\text{inst}} &= -6q^3 - 54q^4 - 162q^5 - 528q^6 - 1566q^7 - 3888q^8 - 9414q^9 - 21870q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;2}^{\text{inst}} &= 9q^3 + 243q^4 + 2322q^5 + 13824q^6 + 68283q^7 + 290466q^8 + 1094580q^9 \\ &\quad + 3785940q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{1;3}^{\text{inst}} &= -4q^3 - 324q^4 - 7290q^5 - 85458q^6 - 700164q^7 - 4599990q^8 - 25682910q^9 \\ &\quad - 126394182q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;4}^{\text{inst}} &= 135q^4 + 8262q^5 + 194532q^6 + 2729754q^7 + 27756027q^8 + 226001070q^9 \\ &\quad + 1557055332q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{1;5}^{\text{inst}} &= -3132q^5 - 185346q^6 - 4812210q^7 - 78689502q^8 - 948813714q^9 \\ &\quad - 9183023298q^{10} - \dots. \end{aligned}$$

E_7 model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1;1}^{\text{inst}} &= -4q^2 - 112q^3 - 564q^4 - 3056q^5 - 11108q^6 - 40528q^7 - 123112q^8 \\ &\quad - 367552q^9 - 989236q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;2}^{\text{inst}} &= 3q^2 + 336q^3 + 9018q^4 + 101088q^5 + 862098q^6 + 5657664q^7 + 32067860q^8 \\ &\quad + 158512832q^9 + 712084479q^{10} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1;3}^{\text{inst}} &= -224 q^3 - 20496 q^4 - 640032 q^5 - 10716104 q^6 - 128761968 q^7 - 1208615256 q^8 \\
&\quad - 9504050688 q^9 - 64763400720 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{1;4}^{\text{inst}} &= 12042 q^4 + 1116896 q^5 + 41444664 q^6 + 903550592 q^7 + 14095889180 q^8 \\
&\quad + 172098048640 q^9 + 1743551210128 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{1;5}^{\text{inst}} &= -574896 q^5 - 57707124 q^6 - 2511634800 q^7 - 66979775872 q^8 \\
&\quad - 1286028782768 q^9 - 19346827285068 q^{10} - \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

E_8 model

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1;1}^{\text{inst}} &= -2 q - 510 q^2 - 11780 q^3 - 142330 q^4 - 1212930 q^5 - 8207894 q^6 - 46981540 q^7 \\
&\quad - 236385540 q^8 - 1072489860 q^9 - 4467531670 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{1;2}^{\text{inst}} &= 762 q^2 + 205320 q^3 + 11361870 q^4 + 317469648 q^5 + 5863932540 q^6 \\
&\quad + 81295293600 q^7 + 909465990330 q^8 + 8597134346400 q^9 \\
&\quad + 70867771453026 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{1;3}^{\text{inst}} &= -246788 q^3 - 76854240 q^4 - 6912918432 q^5 - 323516238180 q^6 \\
&\quad - 9882453271500 q^7 - 221876231766660 q^8 - 3933705832711600 q^9 \\
&\quad - 57747806496416088 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{1;4}^{\text{inst}} &= 76413073 q^4 + 27863327760 q^5 + 3478600115600 q^6 + 234196316814400 q^7 \\
&\quad + 10330930335961770 q^8 + 332747064864457152 q^9 \\
&\quad + 8378290954495817152 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{1;5}^{\text{inst}} &= -23436186174 q^5 - 9930641443350 q^6 - 1585090167772500 q^7 \\
&\quad - 140688512133882000 q^8 - 8255877490179586950 q^9 \\
&\quad - 353737948953627859770 q^{10} - \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that for the principal series, $N_{1;n,n}^{\text{inst}}$ coincides with the genus one, degree n instanton number of the E_N del Pezzo model first obtained in [24]. Genus one instanton numbers for the E_8 model have been computed in [23].

E_0 model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1;1}^{\text{inst}} &= -18q^3 - 72q^4 - 252q^5 - 774q^6 - 2106q^7 - 5292q^8 - 12564q^9 \\ &\quad - 28278q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;2}^{\text{inst}} &= 108q^3 + 1152q^4 + 7812q^5 + 41022q^6 + 181656q^7 + 710856q^8 + 2526516q^9 \\ &\quad + 8310492q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{1;3}^{\text{inst}} &= -336q^3 - 7368q^4 - 85284q^5 - 700896q^6 - 4602090q^7 - 25679052q^8 \\ &\quad - 126406392q^9 - 562694940q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;4}^{\text{inst}} &= 630q^3 + 26343q^4 + 496404q^5 + 6119388q^6 + 57190644q^7 + 437749110q^8 \\ &\quad + 2875241088q^9 + 16711846956q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{1;5}^{\text{inst}} &= -756q^3 - 59976q^4 - 1817298q^5 - 33012216q^6 - 430550244q^7 \\ &\quad - 4429221912q^8 - 38028172446q^9 - 282776491026q^{10} - \dots. \end{aligned}$$

We have checked that $\{N_{1;3n,n}^{\text{inst}}\} = \{0, 0, -10, 231, -4452, \dots\}$ coincides with the genus one, degree n instanton number of the $\mathbf{P}^2$ model first obtained in [24].

$E_{\bar{1}}$ model

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1;1}^{\text{inst}} &= -16q^4 - 32q^5 - 112q^6 - 224q^7 - 608q^8 - 1152q^9 - 2576q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;2}^{\text{inst}} &= 84q^4 + 424q^5 + 2264q^6 + 8176q^7 + 29364q^8 + 88416q^9 \\ &\quad + 260360q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{1;3}^{\text{inst}} &= -224q^4 - 2208q^5 - 17392q^6 - 95872q^7 - 467376q^8 - 1947008q^9 \\ &\quad - 7471488q^{10} - \dots, \\ Z_{1;4}^{\text{inst}} &= 350q^4 + 6272q^5 + 72512q^6 + 576704q^7 + 3778068q^8 + 20848384q^9 \\ &\quad + 102392928q^{10} + \dots, \\ Z_{1;5}^{\text{inst}} &= -336q^4 - 10976q^5 - 188880q^6 - 2130016q^7 - 18652816q^8 - 134027488q^9 \\ &\quad - 833043952q^{10} - \dots. \end{aligned}$$

We have checked that $\{N_{1;2n,n}^{\text{inst}}\} = \{0, 0, 0, 9, 136, 1616, 17560, \dots\}$ coincides with the genus one, degree n instanton number of the $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ model listed in [6].

7 Higher Genus Partition Functions

In contrast to the genus zero or genus one case, we cannot evaluate directly the higher genus Gromov–Witten partition functions [3]. However, the modular anomaly equation (2.17) invented in [18] is so powerful that it determines the partition function $Z_{g;n}^{(N)}(\tau)$ up to finite constants.

7.1 Partition functions as modular forms

In this subsection, we propose a conjecture on the form of the partition functions $Z_{g;n}(\tau)$ of the six models in terms of the modular forms.

First we define $\chi^{(N)}$ for each of the six models by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(0)}(\tau) &= \frac{9q^{\frac{1}{6}}}{\eta(\tau)^4}, & \chi^{(\tilde{1})}(\tau) &= \frac{8q^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\eta(\tau)^2\eta(2\tau)^2}, & \chi^{(5)}(\tau) &= \frac{4q^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta(2\tau)^4}{\eta(\tau)^4\eta(4\tau)^4}, \\ \chi^{(6)}(\tau) &= \frac{3q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta(\tau)^3\eta(3\tau)^3}, & \chi^{(7)}(\tau) &= \frac{2q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta(\tau)^4\eta(2\tau)^4}, & \chi^{(8)}(\tau) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta(\tau)^{12}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

Then we propose the conjectured forms of the partition functions of them:

$$Z_{g;n}^{(0)}(\tau) = (\chi^{(0)}(\tau))^n P_{2g-2+2n}^{(0)}(E_2(\tau), \varpi^{(6)}(\tau), H(\tau)), \quad (7.2)$$

$$Z_{g;n}^{(\tilde{1})}(\tau) = (\chi^{(\tilde{1})}(\tau))^n P_{2g-2+2n}^{(\tilde{1})}(E_2(\tau), \vartheta_3(2\tau)^4, \vartheta_4(2\tau)^4), \quad (7.3)$$

$$Z_{g;n}^{(5)}(\tau) = (\chi^{(5)}(\tau))^n P_{2g-2+2n}^{(5)}(E_2(\tau), \vartheta_3(2\tau)^4, \vartheta_4(2\tau)^4), \quad (7.4)$$

$$Z_{g;n}^{(6)}(\tau) = (\chi^{(6)}(\tau))^n P_{2g-2+3n}^{(6)}(E_2(\tau), \varpi^{(6)}(\tau), H(\tau)), \quad (7.5)$$

$$Z_{g;n}^{(7)}(\tau) = (\chi^{(7)}(\tau))^n P_{2g-2+4n}^{(7)}(E_2(\tau), A(\tau), B(\tau)), \quad (7.6)$$

$$Z_{g;n}^{(8)}(\tau) = (\chi^{(8)}(\tau))^n P_{2g-2+6n}^{(8)}(E_2(\tau), E_4(\tau), E_6(\tau)), \quad (7.7)$$

where each $P^{(N)}$ is a polynomial over $\mathbf{Q}$ in three variables the subscript of which shows its weight as a quasi-modular form.

E_7 model We list the genus two partition functions of the E_7 model.

$$Z_{2;1}^{(7)} = \frac{\chi}{5760} A(6A^2 + 3B + 5E_2^2 + 10AE_2),$$

$$Z_{2;2}^{(7)} = \frac{\chi^2}{1658880} (-64A^5 + 999A^3B + 2349AB^2 + 190E_2^3A^2 + 30E_2^2A^3 + 810E_2^2AB$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 132A^4E_2 + 1251A^2BE_2 + 1215E_2B^2), \\
Z_{2;3}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^3}{318504960}(10561A^7 - 27183A^5B + 222723A^3B^2 + 273699AB^3 + 4600E_2^4A^3 \\
& - 5920E_2^3A^4 + 36480E_2^3A^2B - 288E_2^2A^3B + 105840E_2^2AB^2 + 20544E_2^2A^5 \\
& + 200988E_2B^2A^2 - 12100E_2A^6 + 61704E_2A^4B + 103680E_2B^3), \\
Z_{2;4}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^4}{61152952320}(-1622467A^9 + 6147828A^7B - 5446746A^5B^2 + 33187428A^3B^3 \\
& + 26235333AB^4 + 108800A^4E_2^5 + 1236480E_2^4A^3B - 280320E_2^4A^5 + 7149024E_2^2A^5B \\
& + 5454720E_2^3B^2A^2 + 1067008E_2^3A^6 - 1639296E_2^3A^4B + 1937232E_2^2A^3B^2 \\
& - 2044976E_2^2A^7 + 10817280E_2^2AB^3 + 18498600E_2A^4B^2 - 8131560E_2A^6B \\
& + 24054408E_2A^2B^3 + 2625048E_2A^8 + 8048160E_2B^4), \\
Z_{2;5}^{(7)} &= \frac{\chi^5}{17612050268160}(-142044480E_2^4A^5B + 621872640E_2^3A^6B - 342771840E_2^3A^4B^2 \\
& + 355628304E_2^2A^9 + 55837440E_2^5A^4B + 332170560E_2^4A^3B^2 + 991837440E_2^3A^2B^3 \\
& + 873400320E_2B^5 - 1325802096E_2^2A^7B + 727775280E_2^2A^3B^3 + 1478062080E_2^2AB^4 \\
& - 462511536E_2A^{10} + 2359788336E_2^2A^5B^2 - 2810004192E_2A^6B^2 + 292244077A^{11} \\
& + 3887980560E_2A^2B^4 + 4762800000E_2A^4B^3 + 1930802688E_2A^8B + 3804160A^5E_2^6 \\
& - 1325620701A^9B + 2471168610A^7B^2 - 1132668090A^5B^3 + 6150153825A^3B^4 \\
& + 3302730855AB^5 - 14400000E_2^5A^6 + 62142720E_2^4A^7 - 172019840E_2^3A^8).
\end{aligned}$$

E_8 model We give the partition functions of genus up to five.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2;1}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{1440\varphi^{12}}E_4(E_4 + 5E_2^2), \\
Z_{2;2}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{207360\varphi^{24}}(417E_2E_4^3 + 190E_4^2E_2^3 + 540E_2^2E_4E_6 + 225E_2E_6^2 + 356E_4^2E_6), \\
Z_{2;3}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{2488320\varphi^{36}}(575E_2^4E_4^3 + 3040E_2^3E_4^2E_6 + 4690E_2^2E_4E_6^2 + 3548E_2^2E_4^4 + 1600E_6^3E_2 \\
& + 10176E_6E_4^3E_2 + 2231E_4^5 + 5244E_4^2E_6^2), \\
Z_{2;4}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{179159040\varphi^{48}}(77280E_4^4E_6E_4^3 + 209200E_2^2E_6^3E_4 + 547760E_2^2E_6E_4^4 + 214811E_4^6E_2 \\
& + 203900E_2^3E_6^2E_4^2 + 103252E_4^5E_2^3 + 827230E_6^2E_4^3E_2 + 10200E_2^5E_4^4 + 57375E_6^4E_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 420616E_4^5E_6 + 314360E_4^2E_6^3), \\
Z_{2;5}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{12899450880\varphi^{60}}(15422230E_6^4E_4^2 + 43101209E_6^2E_4^5 + 5522085E_4^8 + 1903680E_6^5E_2 \\
& + 18947800E_2^3E_4^5E_6 + 1744920E_2^5E_4^4E_6 + 50040570E_2^2E_6^2E_4^4 + 6480025E_2^4E_4^3E_6^2 \\
& + 11149400E_2^3E_4^2E_6^3 + 8437860E_2^2E_4E_6^4 + 51231560E_6^3E_4^3E_2 + 42541168E_4^6E_6E_2 \\
& + 2482715E_4^6E_2^4 + 9555018E_4^7E_2^2 + 178320E_2^6E_4^5), \\
Z_{3;1}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{362880\varphi^{12}}E_4(4E_6 + 21E_2E_4 + 35E_2^3), \\
Z_{3;2}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{34836480\varphi^{24}}(14984E_4^2E_6E_2 + 8925E_2^2E_4^3 + 2275E_4^2E_2^4 + 7560E_2^3E_4E_6 + 4725E_2^2E_6^2 \\
& + 3540E_4^4 + 4071E_4E_6^2), \\
Z_{3;3}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{209018880\varphi^{36}}(138104E_4^4E_6 + 224024E_6E_4^3E_2^2 + 36400E_2^4E_4^2E_6 + 224456E_4^2E_6^2E_2 \\
& + 49584E_4E_6^3 + 68460E_2^3E_4E_6^2 + 55006E_2^3E_4^4 + 6055E_2^5E_4^3 + 97431E_4^5E_2 \\
& + 33600E_6^3E_2^2), \\
Z_{3;4}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{90296156160\varphi^{48}}(28134630E_4^7 + 151049093E_4^4E_6^2 + 25488295E_4E_6^4 + 966630E_2^6E_4^4 \\
& + 189296376E_6^2E_4^3E_2^2 + 8172360E_2^5E_6E_4^3 + 31388000E_2^3E_6^3E_4 + 88718416E_2^3E_6E_4^4 \\
& + 24977155E_2^4E_6^2E_4^2 + 13366787E_4^5E_2^4 + 12119625E_4^4E_2^2 + 137926976E_4^2E_6^3E_2 \\
& + 51557313E_4^6E_2^2 + 192353224E_4^5E_6E_2), \\
Z_{3;5}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{1083553873920\varphi^{60}}(274848600E_6^5E_4 + 2868277704E_6^3E_4^4 + 1662616800E_6E_4^7 \\
& + 635585864E_2^4E_4^5E_6 + 323470350E_2^3E_4E_6^4 + 349176520E_2^4E_4^2E_6^3 + 41643000E_2^6E_4^4E_6 \\
& + 2109910578E_2^3E_6^2E_4^4 + 174368705E_2^5E_4^3E_6^2 + 3866100E_2^7E_4^5 + 101077200E_6^5E_2^2 \\
& + 424873884E_4^7E_2^3 + 1739056502E_6^4E_4^2E_2 + 5180110741E_6^2E_4^5E_2 + 70310947E_4^6E_2^5 \\
& + 3045375184E_6^3E_4^3E_2^2 + 2693483096E_4^6E_6E_2^2 + 696828225E_4^8E_2), \\
Z_{4;1}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{87091200\varphi^{12}}E_4(39E_4^2 + 80E_2E_6 + 210E_2^2E_4 + 175E_2^4), \\
Z_{4;2}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{2090188800\varphi^{24}}(53220E_2E_4^4 + 112540E_2^2E_4^2E_6 + 45185E_2^3E_4^3 + 7385E_2^2E_4^5 \\
& + 28350E_2^4E_4E_6 + 23625E_2^3E_6^2 + 61065E_2E_4E_6^2 + 6300E_6^6 + 49402E_6E_4^3), \\
Z_{4;3}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{75246796800\varphi^{36}}(3164700E_2^4E_4E_6^2 + 8993259E_4^5E_2^2 + 14111840E_6^2E_4^3 + 806400E_6^4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 25171632E_2E_6E_4^4 + 13855280E_2^3E_6E_4^3 + 8963520E_2E_6^3E_4 + 20453520E_2^2E_6^2E_4^2 \\
& + 4014627E_4^6 + 208985E_2^6E_4^3 + 2016000E_6^3E_2^3 + 1417920E_2^5E_4^2E_6 + 2638125E_2^4E_4^4), \\
Z_{4;4}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{5417769369600\varphi^{48}}(3336940980E_2^3E_4^3E_6^2 + 7817234620E_2E_6^2E_4^4 + 3248768730E_6^3E_4^3 \\
& + 5085796952E_2^2E_4^5E_6 + 101280375E_6^5 + 3550525000E_2^2E_4^2E_6^3 + 1290318725E_2E_4E_6^4 \\
& + 936363912E_4^6E_2^3 + 1481276055E_4^7E_2 + 2912603799E_4^6E_6 + 1216807640E_2^4E_4^4E_6 \\
& + 152620090E_2^5E_4^5 + 78676080E_2^6E_6E_4^3 + 410158000E_2^4E_6^3E_4 + 274844990E_2^5E_6^2E_4^2 \\
& + 8381520E_2^7E_4^4 + 202702500E_6^4E_2^3), \\
Z_{4;5}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{52010585948160\varphi^{60}}(16869986640E_6^5E_4E_2 + 8944068536E_2^5E_4^5E_6 + 1167070464E_6^6 \\
& + 2035152000E_6^5E_2^3 + 436442160E_2^7E_4^4E_6 + 854577430E_4^6E_2^6 + 114133172104E_6^2E_4^4 \\
& + 183172864792E_6^3E_4^4E_2 + 36942885E_2^8E_4^5 + 5146355025E_2^4E_4E_6^4 + 11890359900E_4^9 \\
& + 7455500881E_4^7E_2^4 + 23616142080E_4^8E_2^2 + 60902666801E_6^4E_4^3 + 2043907670E_2^6E_4^3E_6^2 \\
& + 4688369560E_2^5E_4^2E_6^3 + 54769592870E_6^4E_4^2E_2^2 + 66152468720E_6^3E_4^3E_2^3 \\
& + 60955175392E_4^6E_6E_2^3 + 109420106696E_6E_4^7E_2 + 170157797734E_6^2E_4^5E_2^2 \\
& + 35736239660E_2^4E_6^2E_4^4), \\
Z_{5;1}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{11496038400\varphi^{12}}E_4(136E_4E_6 + 429E_4^2E_2 + 440E_2^2E_6 + 770E_2^3E_4 + 385E_2^5), \\
Z_{5;2}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{3310859059200\varphi^{24}}(4510275E_2^2E_4^3 + 10553400E_2^2E_4^4 + 2494800E_6^3E_2 + 3358995E_4^5 \\
& + 14869360E_2^3E_4^2E_6 + 12090870E_2^2E_4E_6^2 + 19568568E_6E_4^3E_2 + 2245320E_2^5E_4E_6 \\
& + 7083727E_4^2E_6^2 + 512050E_4^2E_6^6 + 2338875E_2^2E_6^2), \\
Z_{5;3}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{9932577177600\varphi^{36}}(935093824E_6^2E_4^3E_2 + 233170300E_2^4E_6E_4^3 + 296640960E_2^2E_6^3E_4 \\
& + 837550728E_2^2E_6E_4^4 + 453680480E_2^3E_6^2E_4^2 + 16385600E_6^6E_4^2E_6 + 42513240E_2^5E_4E_6^2 \\
& + 201151929E_4^5E_2^3 + 36275085E_2^5E_4^4 + 53222400E_6^4E_2 + 266767491E_4^6E_2 \\
& + 405268284E_4^5E_6 + 268326944E_2^2E_6^3 + 33264000E_6^3E_2^4 + 2155615E_2^7E_4^3), \\
Z_{5;4}^{(8)} &= \frac{1}{2860582227148800\varphi^{48}}(12207942670E_2^6E_4^5 + 523849095E_2^8E_4^4 + 156150752805E_4^8 \\
& + 113811930320E_2^5E_4^4E_6 + 1311485716360E_6^6E_4E_2 + 1760563778482E_2^2E_6^2E_4^4 \\
& + 286289201000E_2^2E_4E_6^4 + 381058740370E_2^4E_4^3E_6^2 + 1449394307792E_6^3E_4^3E_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 1106487740990E_6^2E_4^5 + 44575839000E_6^5E_2 + 109025587484E_4^6E_2^4 \\
& + 774483173328E_2^3E_4^5E_6 + 531170439360E_2^3E_4^2E_6^3 + 5431290480E_2^7E_6E_4^3 \\
& + 37160939200E_2^5E_6^3E_4 + 337421738130E_4^7E_2^2 + 21439577390E_2^6E_6^2E_4^2 \\
& + 22344052500E_6^4E_2^4 + 344998537324E_6^4E_4^2), \\
Z_{5;5}^{(8)} = & \frac{1}{102980960177356800\varphi^{60}}(31511006810584E_6^5E_4^2 + 177751951656248E_6^3E_4^5 \\
& + 78175349827680E_6E_4^8 + 344664297670E_4^6E_2^7 + 21179704043952E_4^8E_2^3 \\
& + 4104656416113E_4^7E_2^5 + 41030103891064E_4^6E_6E_2^4 + 1264302270000E_6^5E_2^4 \\
& + 2891093990400E_6^6E_2 + 31336414684620E_4^9E_2 + 155081412353885E_6^4E_4^3E_2 \\
& + 296146234031236E_6^2E_4^6E_2 + 43310617469240E_6^3E_4^3E_2^4 + 19202491494120E_2^5E_6^2E_4^4 \\
& + 46735606475470E_6^4E_4^2E_2^3 + 2649529315125E_2^5E_4E_6^4 + 154506124080E_2^8E_4^4E_6 \\
& + 803244450470E_2^7E_4^3E_6^2 + 4107192009800E_6^6E_4^5E_6 + 2083500320440E_2^6E_4^2E_6^3 \\
& + 149437965048686E_6^2E_4^5E_2^3 + 21211745049000E_6^5E_4E_2^2 + 144355295784864E_6E_4^7E_2^2 \\
& + 11970104685E_2^9E_4^5 + 236773842080568E_6^3E_4^4E_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

7.2 Gopakumar–Vafa invariants

We can extract from the higher genus Gromov–Witten partition function $Z_{g;n}$ an important integer-invariants, the Gopakumar–Vafa invariants [13], which we will explain very briefly. For more details on this subject, see [18, 4, 19, 21].

Let us first consider a BPS state in M theory compactified on a Calabi–Yau threefold X which is realized by the M2-brane wrapped around a holomorphic curve C . In addition to the Abelian gauge charge corresponding to the homology class $[C] \in H_2(X)$, such a state carries also a quantum number of the 5D little group $SO(4) = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.

To clarify the origin of the Lorentz quantum number, let $\mathcal{M}_\beta$ be the moduli space of curves in X with a fixed homology class $\beta \in H_2(X)$, and $\pi: \hat{\mathcal{M}}_\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\beta$ the extended moduli space with its Jacobian fibration, which means that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\beta$ parametrizes all the pairs of a curve of the fixed homology class β and a flat line bundle on it. Namely, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\beta$ is the appropriate moduli space for a BPS M2 brane with its Abelian charge fixed.

The BPS states for this degree of freedom arise from quantization of the moduli space, that is, the cohomology group $H^*(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\beta; \mathbf{C})$ represented by the harmonic differential forms. The $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ Lorentz quantum numbers come from the Lefschetz $SU(2)$ actions for the fibre and base direction of the Jacobian fibration $\pi : \hat{\mathcal{M}}_\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\beta$ respectively.

We take the following representation of the Lorentz spin content of the BPS states with fixed β :

$$H^*(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\beta; \mathbf{C}) = \sum_{h=0}^g I_h \otimes U_{h;\beta}, \quad I_h := [V_{1/2}^L \oplus 2V_0^L]^{\otimes h},$$

where g is the maximum value of the genus that a curve of the fixed homology class β can have, and V_j the irreducible $SU(2)$ module of spin j . Let $U_{h;\beta} = \oplus_j N_{h,j;\beta} V_j^R$ be the irreducible decomposition of the $SU(2)_R$ module above. Then $N_{h,j;\beta} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the multiplicity of the BPS states with the $SO(4)$ Lorentz quantum number $I_{h+1} \otimes V_j^R$ and the Abelian gauge charge $\beta \in H_2(X)$.

The Gopakumar–Vafa invariant $N_{h;\beta}^{\text{GV}}$ with fixed $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\beta \in H_2(X)$ is then given by the index with respect to the $SU(2)_R$ on $U_{h;\beta}$, that is, $N_{h;\beta}^{\text{GV}} := \sum_j e^{2\pi i j} (2j+1) N_{h,j;\beta}$.

It has been found in [13] that the instanton part of the full partition function of IIA topological string on X [3] can be obtained by

$$\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} x^{2g-2} F_g = \sum'_{\beta \in H_2(X)} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} N_{h;\beta}^{\text{GV}} \frac{1}{m} \left[2 \sin \left(\frac{mx}{2} \right) \right]^{2h-2} e^{2\pi i m \langle J, \beta \rangle}, \quad (7.8)$$

where $J \in H^2(X; \mathbf{C})$ is the complexified Kähler class of X .

From now on we turn to the investigation of the Gopakumar–Vafa invariants of one of the six local two-parameter models of the $\mathbf{E}_9$ almost del Pezzo surface. First let $N_{g;n,m}^{\text{GV}}$ be the Gopakumar–Vafa invariant of genus g and bidegree (n, m) , and define its generating function by

$$Z_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{g;n,m}^{\text{GV}} q^m. \quad (7.9)$$

We can show from (7.8) that the partition function of the genus g Gromov–Witten invariants $Z_{g;n}(\tau)$ admits the following decomposition into the generating functions of Gopakumar–Vafa invariants of genus $h \leq g$:

$$Z_{g;n}(\tau) = \sum_{h=0}^g \beta_{g,h} \sum_{k|n} k^{2g-3} Z_{h;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau), \quad (7.10)$$

where $\beta_{g,h}$ is the rational number defined by the following expansion:

$$\left(\frac{\sin(x/2)}{(x/2)}\right)^{2h-2} = \sum_{g=h}^{\infty} \beta_{g,h} x^{2(g-h)}. \quad (7.11)$$

Note that $\beta_{g,0}$ coincides with the one given earlier in (2.22).

Now we give the Möbius inversion formula of (7.10) following [4, Prop.2.1]. To this end, let us first define the rational number $\alpha_{g,h}$ by

$$\left(\frac{\arcsin(x/2)}{(x/2)}\right)^{2h-2} = \sum_{g=h}^{\infty} \alpha_{g,h} x^{2(g-h)}. \quad (7.12)$$

The Möbius inversion for the Gopakumar–Vafa invariants can then be written as

$$Z_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau) = \sum_{h=0}^g \alpha_{g,h} \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) k^{2h-3} Z_{h;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau). \quad (7.13)$$

Let us take the $\mathbf{E}_8$ model and substitute the leading term (2.22) of the partition function in (7.13). Then we see for each $(g, n) \neq (0, 1)$,

$$Z_{g;n}^{\text{GV}(8)}(\tau) = \sum_{h=0}^g \alpha_{g,h} \beta_{h,0} n^{2h-3} \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) + O(q^n) = O(q^n). \quad (7.14)$$

To be more explicit, we describe below the decompositions of the Gromov–Witten partition functions $Z_{g;n}(\tau)$ into the generating functions of Gopakumar–Vafa invariants $Z_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau)$ (7.10) and their Möbius inversions (7.13) for lower genera.

Genus zero For the genus zero case, (7.10) and (7.13) read

$$Z_{0;n}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} k^{-3} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau), \quad Z_{0;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) k^{-3} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau),$$

which shows that $Z_{0;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) = Z_{0;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau)$, that is, the genus zero Gopakumar–Vafa invariants are nothing but the numbers of rational instantons.

Genus one For the genus one cases, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1;n}(\tau) &= \sum_{k|n} k^{-1} \left(Z_{1;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau) + \frac{1}{12} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau) \right), \\ Z_{1;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau) &= \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) \left(k^{-1} Z_{1;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) - \frac{1}{12} k^{-3} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The transformation formulae between $Z_{1;n}^{\text{GV}}$ and $Z_{1;n}^{\text{inst}}$ are

$$Z_{1;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} Z_{1;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{inst}}(k\tau), \quad Z_{1;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) Z_{1;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau). \quad (7.15)$$

Genus two For the genus two case,

$$Z_{2;n}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} k \left(Z_{2;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau) + \frac{1}{240} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau) \right),$$

$$Z_{2;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) \left(k Z_{2;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) - \frac{1}{240} k^{-3} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) \right).$$

On the other hand, we have also the genus two instanton numbers $N_{2;n,m}^{\text{inst}}$ [3, (7.7)], the generating function of which $Z_{2;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{2;n,m}^{\text{inst}} q^m$ is defined through

$$Z_{2;n}(\tau) = Z_{2;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) + \frac{1}{240} \sum_{k|n} k Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{inst}}(k\tau).$$

We see that the two partition functions $Z_{2;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau)$ and $Z_{2;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau)$ are related each other by

$$Z_{2;n}^{\text{inst}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} k Z_{2;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau), \quad Z_{2;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) k Z_{2;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{inst}}(k\tau). \quad (7.16)$$

Genus three Finally for the genus three case,

$$Z_{3;n}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} k^3 \left(Z_{3;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau) - \frac{1}{12} Z_{2;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau) + \frac{1}{6048} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}^{\text{GV}}(k\tau) \right),$$

$$Z_{3;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau) = \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) \left(k^3 Z_{3;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) + \frac{1}{12} k Z_{2;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) - \frac{31}{60480} k^{-3} Z_{0;\frac{n}{k}}(k\tau) \right).$$

The formula (7.13) enables us to convert the Gromov–Witten partition function $Z_{g;n}(\tau)$ to the generating function of the Gopakumar–Vafa invariants $Z_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau)$. Let $N_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(B_N)$ be the Gopakumar–Vafa invariant of the local $\mathbf{E}_N$ del Pezzo model of genus g and degree n . Based on the calculation of several $Z_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau)$ using (7.13), we propose the following conjecture for the Gopakumar–Vafa invariants of the local $\mathbf{E}_9$ del Pezzo models:

$$\mathbf{E}_0 : \quad N_{g;3n,n}^{\text{GV}} = N_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(\mathbf{P}^2), \quad (7.17)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\bar{1}} : \quad N_{g;2n,n}^{\text{GV}} = N_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1), \quad (7.18)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_N : \quad N_{g;n,n}^{\text{GV}} = N_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(B_N), \quad N = 5, 6, 7, 8. \quad (7.19)$$

It should be noted that the evaluation of the left hand side is much easier than that of the right hand side [21]. We will show some examples of $Z_{g;n}^{\text{GV}}(\tau)$ below to see the integrality of their q -expansions.

E_7 model We give the genus two Gopakumar–Vafa generating functions.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2;1}^{\text{GV}} &= 6q^4 + 168q^5 + 860q^6 + 4976q^7 + 18660q^8 + 72160q^9 + 226952q^{10} \\
&\quad + 712128q^{11} + \dots, \\
Z_{2;2}^{\text{GV}} &= -580q^4 - 12224q^5 - 171192q^6 - 1520960q^7 - 11191692q^8 - 67475456q^9 \\
&\quad - 361410816q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{2;3}^{\text{GV}} &= 986q^4 + 90952q^5 + 2505136q^6 + 43815752q^7 + 539969082q^8 \\
&\quad + 5314601592q^9 + 43546643132q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{2;4}^{\text{GV}} &= -844q^4 - 219392q^5 - 14554008q^6 - 456217600q^7 - 9386376248q^8 \\
&\quad - 142590577280q^9 - 1733995192624q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{2;5}^{\text{GV}} &= 116880q^5 + 22288580q^6 + 1484462912q^7 + 53446857696q^8 \\
&\quad + 1298602990944q^9 + 23677762683308q^{10} + \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

E_8 model We give only the genus two and three cases.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2;1}^{\text{GV}} &= 3q^2 + 772q^3 + 19467q^4 + 257796q^5 + 2391067q^6 + 17484012q^7 + 107445366q^8 \\
&\quad + 577157904q^9 + 2782194327q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{2;2}^{\text{GV}} &= -4q^2 - 25604q^3 - 3075138q^4 - 135430120q^5 - 3449998524q^6 - 61300761264q^7 \\
&\quad - 839145842528q^8 - 9401698267600q^9 - 89741934231984q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{2;3}^{\text{GV}} &= 30464q^3 + 26356767q^4 + 4012587684q^5 + 267561063651q^6 + 10669237946340q^7 \\
&\quad + 296540296415919q^8 + 6281046300189120q^9 + 107386914608369634q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{2;4}^{\text{GV}} &= -26631112q^4 - 18669096840q^5 - 3493725635712q^6 - 315335792669280q^7 \\
&\quad - 17502072462748056q^8 - 680822976267281568q^9 - 20119222969453708672q^{10} \\
&\quad - 476723960943969692160q^{11} - \dots,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2,5}^{\text{GV}} &= 16150498760 q^5 + 11074858711765 q^6 + 2457788116576020 q^7 \\
&\quad + 280285943363605460 q^8 + 20134110289153178480 q^9 \\
&\quad + 1021994028815246670450 q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{3,1}^{\text{GV}} &= -4 q^3 - 1038 q^4 - 28200 q^5 - 403530 q^6 - 4027020 q^7 - 31528152 q^8 \\
&\quad - 206468416 q^9 - 1176822312 q^{10} - \dots, \\
Z_{3,2}^{\text{GV}} &= 1296 q^3 + 494144 q^4 + 38004700 q^5 + 1400424188 q^6 + 32782202520 q^7 \\
&\quad + 559061195716 q^8 + 7518370093000 q^9 + 83886353406048 q^{10} \\
&\quad + 804126968489640 q^{11} + \dots, \\
Z_{3,3}^{\text{GV}} &= -1548 q^3 - 5707354 q^4 - 1607880090 q^5 - 158684891624 q^6 - 8435743979080 q^7 \\
&\quad - 294159368706504 q^8 - 7512935612951670 q^9 - 150615781749573158 q^{10} \\
&\quad - 2483798853495519960 q^{11} - \dots, \\
Z_{3,4}^{\text{GV}} &= 5889840 q^4 + 8744913564 q^5 + 2548788575530 q^6 + 314635716180400 q^7 \\
&\quad + 22243167756986804 q^8 + 1053665475134158016 q^9 + 36762786441521664780 q^{10} \\
&\quad + 1005501515252382449280 q^{11} + \dots, \\
Z_{3,5}^{\text{GV}} &= -7785768630 q^5 - 8996745286730 q^6 - 2835031032258700 q^7 \\
&\quad - 420624614518458350 q^8 - 37292995978411176810 q^9 \\
&\quad - 2255647477866896285790 q^{10} - 101168121676653460498460 q^{11} - \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

7.3 Partition functions as Jacobi forms

The solution (2.20) of the modular anomaly equation (2.17) implies that the partition function $Z_{g;n}(\tau|\mu)$ is completely determined only if we could fix the anomaly-free part of its numerator (2.10), $T_{g;n}^0(\tau|\mu)$, which is an $\mathbf{E}_8$ Weyl-invariant Jacobi form of weight $2g-2+6n$ and index n . We introduce here some notation: let $\mathcal{J}_{k,n}^\Gamma(\mathbf{E}_8)$ be the space of the $\mathbf{E}_8$ Weyl-invariant Jacobi forms of weight k and index n for a modular group $\Gamma \subset SL(2; \mathbf{Z})$; $\mathcal{J}_{*,*}^\Gamma(\mathbf{E}_8) := \bigoplus_{k,n} \mathcal{J}_{k,n}^\Gamma(\mathbf{E}_8)$ the total space of such forms, which has a structure of a graded $M_*(\Gamma)$ -algebra. *Unfortunately*, we do not have the generators of $\mathcal{J}_{*,*}^{SL(2;\mathbf{Z})}(\mathbf{E}_8)$ [41] to fix

$T_{g;n}^0(\tau|\mu)$ up to finite unknown coefficients.

However a powerful method to generate certain elements of $\mathcal{J}_{*,*}^{SL(2;\mathbf{Z})}(\mathbf{E}_8)$ from the theta function $\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}$ has been used to obtain $T_{0;n}^0(\tau|\mu)$ for $n = 2, 3, 4$ in [27].

We will now explain the method. First, we note that $\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}^{(n)}(\tau|\mu) := \Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(n\tau|n\mu)$ is an element of $\mathcal{J}_{4,n}^{\Gamma_0(n)}(\mathbf{E}_8)$. Secondly, the slash action of $\gamma \in SL(2;\mathbf{Z})$ on $G(\tau|\mu) \in \mathcal{J}_{k,m}^{\Gamma_0(n)}(\mathbf{E}_8)$ is defined by

$$(G|\gamma)(\tau|\mu) := \frac{1}{(c\tau+d)^k} \exp\left[-\frac{\pi imc}{c\tau+d}(\mu|\mu)\right] G\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d} \middle| \frac{\mu}{c\tau+d}\right), \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfies $(G|\gamma_1)|\gamma_2 = G|(\gamma_1\gamma_2)$. Note that $G|\gamma = G$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(n)$ by definition, and $G \in \mathcal{J}_{k,m}^{SL(2;\mathbf{Z})}(\mathbf{E}_8)$ if and only if $G|\gamma = G$ for any $\gamma \in SL(2;\mathbf{Z})$. Thirdly, consider the coset space $\Gamma_0(n)\backslash SL(2;\mathbf{Z})$, on which $SL(2;\mathbf{Z})$ acts as permutation from the right, and the cardinality of which is $c(n) := n \prod_{p|n}(1+p^{-1})$; in particular we can take $\{I, T, TS, \dots, TS^{p-1}\}$ as its representatives if $n = p$ is prime [37]. Then for $f(\tau) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(n))$, we define $\sigma_a^{(n)}(f)(\tau|\mu)$ by

$$\sum_{a=0}^{c(n)} t^{c(n)-a} \sigma_a^{(n)}(f) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0(n)\backslash SL(2;\mathbf{Z})} \left[t + (f\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}^{(n)}|\gamma) \right], \quad (7.20)$$

that is, $\sigma_a^{(n)}(f)$ is the a th basic symmetric polynomial in $\{(f\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}^{(n)}|\gamma)\}$. From the argument above, we can see that $\sigma_a^{(n)}(f) \in \mathcal{J}_{a(k+4),an}^{SL(2;\mathbf{Z})}(\mathbf{E}_8)$. Note in particular that $\sigma_1^{(n)}(1)$ is the n th Hecke transform of $\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}$. More generally, we can see that any permutation invariant combination gives an element of $\mathcal{J}_{*,*}^{SL(2;\mathbf{Z})}(\mathbf{E}_8)$; for example, if $f_i \in M_{k_i}(\Gamma_0(n))$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $\sum_{\gamma} (f_1\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}^{(n)}|\gamma) \cdot (f_2\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}^{(n)}|\gamma)$ is an element of $\mathcal{J}_{8+k_1+k_2,2n}^{SL(2;\mathbf{Z})}(\mathbf{E}_8)$, and so on.

We will now determine doubly winding partition functions $T_{g;2}^0(\tau|\mu) \in \mathcal{J}_{2g+10,2}^{SL(2;\mathbf{Z})}(\mathbf{E}_8)$ for lower g s based on the assumption that they are obtained by the procedure that we have just explained above. We need to consider only $\sigma_1^{(2)}: M_*(\Gamma_0(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{*,4,2}^{SL(2;\mathbf{Z})}(\mathbf{E}_8)$, which is a homomorphism of $M_*(SL(2;\mathbf{Z}))$ -modules. It turns out that $T_{g;2}^0$ should be found in the free $M_*(SL(2;\mathbf{Z}))$ -module generated by the three elements $\sigma_1^{(2)}(AB)$, $\sigma_1^{(2)}(B^2)$ and $\sigma_1^{(2)}(B) = (\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^2$; we do not use $\sigma_1^{(2)}(1)$ and $\sigma_1^{(2)}(A)$ as generators because the q -expansion

of them specialized to the four $\mathbf{E}_{N \neq 7,8}$ models has a pole; for $\mathbf{E}_5$ model, for example,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1^{(2)}(1)(4\tau|\tau\omega_5) &= 2 \left(\frac{\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(4\tau|\tau\omega_5)}{\vartheta_2(2\tau)^4\vartheta_3(2\tau)^2} \right)^2 (9\vartheta_3(2\tau)^4 + \vartheta_4(2\tau)^4), \\ \sigma_1^{(2)}(A)(4\tau|\tau\omega_5) &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8}(4\tau|\tau\omega_5)}{\vartheta_2(2\tau)^4\vartheta_3(2\tau)^2} \right)^2 (15\vartheta_3(2\tau)^8 + 26\vartheta_4(2\tau)^4\vartheta_3(2\tau)^4 - \vartheta_4(2\tau)^8).\end{aligned}$$

Incidentally, AB and B^2 can be expanded as

$$AB = \frac{1}{3}(E_4A + E_6), \quad B^2 = \frac{1}{9}(4E_6A + 3E_4B + 2E_4^2).$$

We list the result of our fitting including the fundamental result of $T_{0;2}^0$ in [27]:

$$\begin{aligned}T_{0;2}^0 &= \frac{1}{12}\sigma_1^{(2)}(AB), \\ T_{1;2}^0 &= \frac{1}{576} \left[E_4(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^2 + 6\sigma_1^{(2)}(B^2) \right], \\ T_{2;2}^0 &= \frac{1}{51840} \left[26E_6(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^2 + 63E_4\sigma_1^{(2)}(AB) \right], \\ T_{3;2}^0 &= \frac{1}{11612160} \left[445E_4^2(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^2 + 832E_6\sigma_1^{(2)}(AB) + 1260E_4\sigma_1^{(2)}(B^2) \right], \\ T_{4;2}^0 &= \frac{1}{1045094400} \left[6692E_4E_6(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^2 + 13599E_4^2\sigma_1^{(2)}(AB) + 7560E_6\sigma_1^{(2)}(B^2) \right], \\ T_{5;2}^0 &= \frac{1}{1655429529600} \left[(603615E_4^3 + 523520E_6^2)(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^2 + 2249856E_4E_6\sigma_1^{(2)}(AB) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 1844370E_4^2\sigma_1^{(2)}(B^2) \right], \\ T_{6;2}^0 &= \frac{1}{1506440871936000} \left[123290398E_4^2E_6(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (185440941E_4^3 + 61328640E_6^2)\sigma_1^{(2)}(AB) + 180540360E_4E_6\sigma_1^{(2)}(B^2) \right], \\ T_{7;2}^0 &= \frac{1}{867709942235136000} \left[(2926360905E_4^4 + 5095068160E_4E_6^2)(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 16042720896E_4^2E_6\sigma_1^{(2)}(AB) + (8745249240E_4^3 + 3358817280E_6^2)\sigma_1^{(2)}(B^2) \right].\end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

It is then easy to recover $Z_{g;2}(\tau|\mu)$ by the solution (2.21) of the modular anomaly equation. As a consistency check of our procedure, we can look into the integrality of the Gopakumar–Vafa invariants; indeed for the $\mathbf{E}_7$ model, we have

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{3;2}^{\text{GV}(7)}(\tau) &= 5q^4 + 560q^5 + 18350q^6 + 240736q^7 + 2479193q^8 + \dots, \\ Z_{4;2}^{\text{GV}(7)}(\tau) &= -896q^6 - 21248q^7 - 354032q^8 - 3578624q^9 - 30445968q^{10} + \dots,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{5;2}^{\text{GV}(7)}(\tau) &= 7q^6 + 784q^7 + 30124q^8 + 443392q^9 + 5276873q^{10} + \dots, \\
Z_{6;2}^{\text{GV}(7)}(\tau) &= -1228q^8 - 32064q^9 - 617904q^{10} - 6946048q^{11} - 66942248q^{12} - \dots, \\
Z_{7;2}^{\text{GV}(7)}(\tau) &= 9q^8 + 1008q^9 + 44450q^{10} + 720928q^{11} + 9741094q^{12} + \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

We also find the triply winding partition function in the same manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{0;3}^0 &= \frac{1}{864} \left[20\sigma_1^{(3)}(H^4) + 972\eta^{24}\sigma_1^{(3)}(1) - 3E_4(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^3 \right], \\
T_{1;3}^0 &= \frac{1}{2592} \left[24\sigma_1^{(3)}(H^4(\varpi^{(6)})^2) - E_6(\Theta_{\mathbf{E}_8})^3 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $T_{0;3}^0$ is again the result of [27].

8 Seiberg–Witten Curve

8.1 Periods of rational elliptic surfaces

Local mirror of the IIA string on K_{B_9} with the Kähler moduli (2.5) is the IIB string on the degenerate Calabi–Yau threefold given by the two equations in $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, u)$ [27]:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{y})^2 &= 4(\bar{x})^3 - f(u; \tau, \mu)\bar{x} - g(u; \tau, \mu), \\
\tilde{x}\tilde{y} &= u - u^*,
\end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

where the first equation (8.1) itself describes the family of rational elliptic surfaces S_9 in Weierstrass form, the nine moduli (τ, μ) of which should be encoded as

$$f(u; \tau, \mu) = \sum_{i=0}^4 f_{4-i}(\tau, \mu)u^i, \quad f_0(\tau) = \frac{4}{3}\pi^4 E_4(\tau), \tag{8.2}$$

$$g(u; \tau, \mu) = \sum_{i=0}^6 g_{6-i}(\tau, \mu)u^i, \quad g_0(\tau) = \frac{8}{27}\pi^6 E_6(\tau). \tag{8.3}$$

The determination of the precise forms of f and g for given moduli (τ, μ) will be discussed in section 9. The base of the elliptic fibration $\pi: S_9 \mapsto \mathbf{P}^1$ is the u -plane and we have a rational two-form $\Omega = d\bar{x}/\bar{y} \wedge du$ on it inherited from the holomorphic three-form $\Omega \wedge d\tilde{x}/\tilde{y}$ on the Calabi–Yau threefold through the Poincaré residue. Note that the pair S_9 given by (8.1) and Ω is nothing but the ingredients of the Seiberg–Witten curve [38]

that describes 4D E-string [10, 12]. There exists a $\mathbf{C}^*$ -action on S_9 which preserves the two-form Ω [10, 12]:

$$(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, u) \mapsto (\lambda^2 \bar{x}, \lambda^3 \bar{y}, \lambda u), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*. \quad (8.4)$$

Let $E_u := \pi^{-1}(u)$ be the fiber at u ; the leading terms f_0 and g_0 are fixed by the physical requirement that E_∞ , the fiber at infinity, has the modulus τ .

Let us introduce the coordinates at $u = \infty$ by $(x, y, t) = (\bar{x}u^2, \bar{y}u^3, 1/u)$, in terms of which the defining equation of E_∞ can be written in a canonical form:

$$E_\infty: y^2 = 4x^3 - f_0(\tau)x - g_0(\tau). \quad (8.5)$$

There exists a pair of one-cycles on E_∞ (α, β) such that

$$\int_\alpha \frac{dx}{y} = 1, \quad \int_\beta \frac{dx}{y} = \tau. \quad (8.6)$$

The rational two-form Ω now takes the form $\Omega = dt/t \wedge dx/y$, from which we see that the Poincaré residue of Ω along E_∞ is nothing but the canonical one-form on E_∞ :

$$\text{Res}_{E_\infty}(\Omega) = \frac{dx}{y}. \quad (8.7)$$

We can identify E_∞ with the complex torus $\mathbf{C}/(\mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z})$ through the uniformization $(x, y) = (\wp(\tau|\nu), \wp'(\tau|\nu))$, where ν is the coordinate of the covering space $\mathbf{C}$ of the torus and the Weierstrass $\wp$ function is defined by

$$\wp(\tau|\nu) = \frac{1}{\nu^2} + \sum'_{\omega \in \mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{(\nu - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right].$$

Note that dx/y is pulled-back by this isomorphism to $d\nu$, which makes (8.6) rather trivial. The point $\nu \bmod \mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z}$ of the torus will be frequently used to refer to the point $(\wp(\tau|\nu), \wp'(\tau|\nu))$ of E_∞ below.

We also introduce the homogeneous coordinates (x_0, x_1, x_2) of $\mathbf{P}^2$ with $x = x_1/x_0$, $y = x_2/x_0$, so that we can realize E_∞ as a plane curve defined by the ternary cubic P :

$$P(x_0, x_1, x_2) := x_0x_2^2 - 4x_1^3 + f_0(\tau)x_0^2x_1 + g_0(\tau)x_0^3. \quad (8.8)$$

The twelve normalized periods $(1, \tau, \sigma, \mu, \partial_\sigma F_0)$ of the E-string can be obtained as the periods of the Seiberg–Witten curve (8.1) [10, 12, 24, 29, 27, 14].

To see this, let $(\alpha(u), \beta(u))$ be the standard symplectic basis of the fiber $H_1(E_u)$ which extends the one (α, β) at $u = \infty$. It is clear from (8.6) and (8.7) that the two periods 1, τ can be recovered by the integrals [14]:

$$1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{u=\infty} du \oint_{\alpha(u)} \frac{d\bar{x}}{y}, \quad \tau = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{u=\infty} du \oint_{\beta(u)} \frac{d\bar{x}}{y}. \quad (8.9)$$

Evaluation of the Wilson lines $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^8 \mu_i \omega_i$ needs a more careful study of periods, since it deals with a seemingly divergent integral due to the pole of Ω .

Notice first that Ω is a holomorphic two-form on $S_9 - E_\infty$. Then we are naturally lead to define the period map $\hat{\varrho}$ [26] of Ω by

$$\hat{\varrho}: H_2(S_9 - E_\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}, \quad \hat{\chi}(D) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_D \Omega.$$

The non-trivial part of the homology exact sequence of the pair $(S_9, S_9 - E_\infty)$:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_i(S_9 - E_\infty) \longrightarrow H_i(S_9) \longrightarrow H_i(S_9, S_9 - E_\infty) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(S_9 - E_\infty) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

can be written as

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(E_\infty) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_2(S_9 - E_\infty) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(S_9) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_0(E_\infty) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (8.10)$$

where we used the Poincaré duality $H_i(S_9, S_9 - E_\infty) \cong H^{4-i}(E_\infty)$ and $H_1(S_9 - E_\infty) \cong 0$.

There are two points: first, $\partial_*\alpha$ and $\partial_*\beta$ are just the elements of $H_2(S_9 - E_\infty)$ appeared in (8.9), from which it follows immediately that $\hat{\varrho}(\partial_*\alpha) = 1$, and $\hat{\varrho}(\partial_*\beta) = \tau$, that is, $\hat{\varrho} \cdot \partial_*$ is nothing but the period map of E_∞ by dx/y ; second, $j_*: H_2(S_9) \rightarrow H_0(E_\infty) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ simply counts the intersection number of a divisor with E_∞ . As the homology class of E_∞ is $[\delta]$, we see that $\text{Ker } j_* = \text{Im } i_*$ can be identified with $L(\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)})$.

We conclude that $\hat{\varrho}$ induces the homomorphism of additive groups:

$$\varrho: L(\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \mod \mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z}. \quad (8.11)$$

In other words, we can regularize systematically the integral of the rational form Ω over $L(\mathbf{E}_8^{(1)})$ at the expense of the additive ambiguity $\mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z}$.

It is possible to describe χ quite explicitly in terms of the moduli of S_9 . Let $p_i := (\wp(\tau|\nu_i), \wp'(\tau|\nu_i))$ be the intersection point in S_9 of E_∞ with the i th exceptional divisor

$\mathcal{E}_i$. Then the rational elliptic surface S_9 is obtained by blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^2$ at these nine points, which also satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^9 \nu_i = 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z}} \quad (8.12)$$

because they are the intersection points of two cubics in $\mathbf{P}^2$, that is, there exists another cubic Q such that $\{\nu_i\} = \{P = 0\} \cap \{Q = 0\}$. The rational elliptic surface S_9 in question is then expressed as the hypersurface $P + tQ = 0$ in $\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^1$; this is the same as the realization of the $\mathbf{E}_0$ model, but it is the complex structure that matters here.

We can show that ϱ is given by [26, 34]

$$\varrho(\mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_j) = \nu_i - \nu_j, \quad (8.13)$$

$$\varrho(l - \mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_j - \mathcal{E}_k) = -\nu_i - \nu_j - \nu_k, \quad (8.14)$$

where ν_i s are defined only up to addition of $\mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z}$.

Let us see how (8.13) is obtained. Choose a path $\gamma_{i,j}$ which connects p_j and p_i on E_∞ and let $T_{i,j}$ be a closed tubular neighbourhood of $\gamma_{i,j}$ in $S_9 - E_\infty$, such that each of $\mathcal{E}_i \cap T_{i,j} = D_i$ and $\mathcal{E}_j \cap T_{i,j} = D_j$ is its fiber. Then $(\mathcal{E}_i - D_i) \cup \partial T_{i,j} \cup (\mathcal{E}_j - D_j)$ becomes an oriented topological manifold homologous to $\mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_j$ and disjoint from E_∞ . In physical terms, $\partial T_{i,j}$ is a “wormhole” connecting the two “universes” $\mathcal{E}_i$ and $\mathcal{E}_j$, with the singular points p_i, p_j replaced by a “black and white hole”. Now the evaluation of the left hand side of (8.13) proceeds as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_j} \Omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial T_{i,j}} \Omega = \int_{\gamma_{i,j}} \text{Res}_{E_\infty} \Omega = \int_{p_j}^{p_i} \frac{dx}{y},$$

which yields precisely the right hand side of (8.13), the ambiguity of which comes from the choice of the path $\gamma_{i,j}$.

As for (8.14), we first combine the divisor in question as $(l - \mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_j) - \mathcal{E}_k$. We can then take as l the transform of the line on $\mathbf{P}^2$ that passes through the two points $\{p_i, p_j\}$. Now we see that $(l - \mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_j)$ is an effective curve intersecting with E_∞ at $-p_i - p_j$, the coordinates of which are $(\wp(\tau|\nu), \wp'(\tau|\nu))$, with $\nu := -\nu_i - \nu_j$. At this point, the problem

is reduced to (8.13), so that (8.14) follows. We note that as a consequence of (8.14),

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho([\delta]) &= \varrho(l - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3) + \varrho(l - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6) + \varrho(l - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 - \mathcal{E}_9) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^9 \nu_i = 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z}},\end{aligned}$$

which is consistent with the fact that we can take a generic fiber E_u as a representative of $[\delta]$, thus $\Omega|_{E_u}$ vanishes identically, since E_u is a holomorphic curve disjoint from E_∞ .

We do not have much to say about the Seiberg–Witten periods [38], σ and $\partial_\sigma F_0$. These are *not* periods of S_9 in the sense above, and roughly given by

$$\sigma = - \int du \oint_{\alpha(u)} \frac{d\bar{x}}{\bar{y}}, \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \left(\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \sigma} \right) = \int du \left(\oint_{\beta(u)} \frac{d\bar{x}}{\bar{y}} - \tau \int_{\alpha(u)} \frac{d\bar{x}}{\bar{y}} \right),$$

a detailed account of which we have already given for the six two-parameter models in (4.65), (4.66) and (4.67) for σ and in (4.69), (4.70), and (4.71) for $\partial_\sigma F_0$, with the correspondence of the bare parameters $z_1 \propto u^{-1}$ in mind. Explicit evaluation and instanton expansion of these Seiberg–Witten integrals in terms of modular forms has been done for the $\mathbf{E}_8$ model in [29].

8.2 Wilson lines

Based on the results in the last subsection, we find the Wilson lines [38, 10, 12, 27] to be

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \varrho([\alpha_i]) = \begin{cases} \nu_i - \nu_{i+1}, & i = 1, \dots, 7, \\ -\nu_1 - \nu_2 - \nu_3, & i = 8, \end{cases} \quad (8.15)$$

where $[\alpha_i] \in H_2(S_9)$ is defined in (2.3).

The Euclidean coordinates (2.6) of the Wilson lines (m_i) and the base points of the

cubic pencil $\nu_i = E_\infty \cap \mathcal{E}_i$ are related to each other by

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \\ m_4 \\ m_5 \\ m_7 \\ m_8 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \\ \nu_4 \\ \nu_5 \\ \nu_6 \\ \nu_7 \\ \nu_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.16)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \\ \nu_4 \\ \nu_5 \\ \nu_6 \\ \nu_7 \\ \nu_8 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 2 \\ -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \\ m_4 \\ m_5 \\ m_7 \\ m_8 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.17)$$

9 Inverse Problem

9.1 General strategy

We are interested in the following problem: given the eight Wilson lines $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^8 \mu_i \omega_i$, or equivalently the nine points $(\nu_i)_{i=1}^9$ on the torus $\mathbf{C}/(\mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z})$, which satisfy (8.12), find the corresponding Seiberg–Witten curve (8.1). In other words, we want to know explicitly the two functions $f(u; \tau, \mu)$ in (8.2) and $g(u; \tau, \mu)$ in (8.3) as functions of the moduli.

We will solve this problem in two steps [12]: in the first step, we obtain the cubic pencil $P + tQ$ in $\mathbf{P}^2$ for the given base points (ν_i) , which is achieved by consideration based on the elliptic function theory; and in the second step, we transform the cubic pencil into the Weierstrass form (8.1), with the help of the classical theory of algebraic invariants.

First step We claim that the curve defined by the cubic $Q(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ shown below passes through the nine points $\{(1, \wp(\tau|\nu_i), \wp'(\tau|\nu_i))\}$, where (ν_i) are taken to be generic

except (8.12):

$$Q = \begin{vmatrix} x_0^3 & x_0^2 x_1 & x_0^2 x_2 & x_0 x_1^2 & x_0 x_1 x_2 & x_0 x_2^2 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ 1 & \wp_1 & \wp'_1 & \wp_1^2 & \wp_1 \wp'_1 & (\wp'_1)^2 & \wp_1^2 \wp'_1 & \wp_1 (\wp'_1)^2 & (\wp'_1)^3 \\ 1 & \wp_2 & \wp'_2 & \wp_2^2 & \wp_2 \wp'_2 & (\wp'_2)^2 & \wp_2^2 \wp'_2 & \wp_2 (\wp'_2)^2 & (\wp'_2)^3 \\ 1 & \wp_3 & \wp'_3 & \wp_3^2 & \wp_3 \wp'_3 & (\wp'_3)^2 & \wp_3^2 \wp'_3 & \wp_3 (\wp'_3)^2 & (\wp'_3)^3 \\ 1 & \wp_4 & \wp'_4 & \wp_4^2 & \wp_4 \wp'_4 & (\wp'_4)^2 & \wp_4^2 \wp'_4 & \wp_4 (\wp'_4)^2 & (\wp'_4)^3 \\ 1 & \wp_5 & \wp'_5 & \wp_5^2 & \wp_5 \wp'_5 & (\wp'_5)^2 & \wp_5^2 \wp'_5 & \wp_5 (\wp'_5)^2 & (\wp'_5)^3 \\ 1 & \wp_6 & \wp'_6 & \wp_6^2 & \wp_6 \wp'_6 & (\wp'_6)^2 & \wp_6^2 \wp'_6 & \wp_6 (\wp'_6)^2 & (\wp'_6)^3 \\ 1 & \wp_7 & \wp'_7 & \wp_7^2 & \wp_7 \wp'_7 & (\wp'_7)^2 & \wp_7^2 \wp'_7 & \wp_7 (\wp'_7)^2 & (\wp'_7)^3 \\ 1 & \wp_8 & \wp'_8 & \wp_8^2 & \wp_8 \wp'_8 & (\wp'_8)^2 & \wp_8^2 \wp'_8 & \wp_8 (\wp'_8)^2 & (\wp'_8)^3 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (9.1)$$

where we have used the abbreviated notation $\wp_i = \wp(\tau|\nu_i)$, $\wp'_i = \wp'(\tau|\nu_i)$.

The proof is quite simple if we recall some fundamental theorems of the elliptic function theory [20]; first $h(\tau|\nu) := Q(1, \wp(\tau|\nu), \wp'(\tau|\nu))$ is an elliptic function with the only pole of ninth order at $\nu=0$; then as $h(\tau|\nu)$ has eight simple zeros at $\nu=\nu_1, \dots, \nu_8$ by construction, the ninth zero should be $\nu = -\sum_{i=1}^8 \nu_i = \nu_9$.

In fact, $h(\tau|\nu)$ admits the following concise expression [40, III, p.98–99]:

$$h(\tau|\nu_0) = 2^{10} \frac{\sigma(\tau|\sum_{i=0}^8 \nu_i) \prod_{i<j} \sigma(\tau|\nu_j - \nu_i)}{\prod_{i=0}^8 \sigma(\tau|\nu_i)^9}, \quad (9.2)$$

where $\sigma(\tau|\nu)$ is the Weierstrass sigma function

$$\sigma(\tau|\nu) := \nu \prod'_{\omega \in \mathbf{Z}\tau + \mathbf{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\omega}\right) e^{(\frac{\nu}{\omega}) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\nu}{\omega})^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\frac{1}{6}(\pi\nu)^2 E_2(\tau)} \frac{\vartheta_1(\tau|\nu)}{\eta(\tau)^3}.$$

The $E_2(\tau)$ factors in the sigma functions cancel out in (9.2). Curiously, we encounter the same function $\vartheta_1(\tau|\nu)/\eta(\tau)^3$ as in (2.16).

The cubic curve $Q = 0$ intersects with E_∞ at the nine points $\{(1, \wp_i, \wp'_i)\}$. Note that Q never coincides with the original cubic P since Q lacks the x_1^3 term [12].

Therefore we have found for the given moduli parameters (τ, μ) the rational elliptic surface S_9 in the form of a cubic pencil $P + tQ$, where P is given in (8.8) and Q in (9.1).

If (ν_i) are not generic, e.g., if $\nu_i = \nu_j$, then the right hand side of (9.1) vanishes identically. However an appropriate limiting procedure such as $\nu_j \rightarrow \nu_i$ should still produce a non-trivial solution. In fact, in the following subsection we treat several models with degenerate Wilson line parameters, where Q factorizes into lower degree polynomials.

Second step What is really in need is the Seiberg–Witten form (8.1) of S_9 , which is given by the cubic pencil at this point. In principle, we can find a coordinate transformation of (x_0, x_1, x_2) by $GL(3; \mathbf{C})$ which takes the cubic pencil $P + tQ$ above into the Weierstrass form [12], but it seems very difficult to perform this task in general. We can in fact skip this difficulty to reach the Weierstrass form (8.1) directly.

To see this, first recall that there exists a natural action of $GL(3; \mathbf{C})$ on the space of the ternary cubic forms, a general member R of which we write as

$$R := \sum_{p+q+r=3} \left(\frac{3!}{p!q!r!} \right) a_{pqr} x_0^p x_1^q x_2^r. \quad (9.3)$$

It is well-known that the ring of the projective invariants are the polynomial ring over $\mathbf{C}$ generated by the two basic invariants $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$, that is, $(\mathbf{C}[a_{pqr}])^{PGL(3; \mathbf{C})} = \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}]$ which can be obtained by the formula [15, II.7]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess} \left\{ \alpha R + \frac{\beta}{36} \text{Hess}(R) \right\} = & \left(432 \alpha^2 \beta \mathcal{S} + 1728 \beta^3 \mathcal{S}^2 - 216 \alpha \beta^2 \mathcal{T} \right) R \\ & + \left(\alpha^3 + 2 \beta^3 \mathcal{T} - 12 \alpha \beta^2 \mathcal{S} \right) \text{Hess}(R), \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

where $\text{Hess}(R) := |(\partial_i \partial_j R)|$ is the Hessian of R , which is another cubic. We give in (A.1) and (A.2) the explicit forms of these two invariants, which indeed coincide with those in [39, Prop.4.4.7] and [39, Exm.4.5.3].

Any *generic* cubic R can then be transformed by $GL(3; \mathbf{C})$ to the Weierstrass form:

$$R \mapsto x_2^2 x_0 - 4x_1^3 + f x_1 x_0^2 + g x_0^3, \quad f = \frac{27}{4} \mathcal{S}, \quad g = \frac{27}{64} \mathcal{T}. \quad (9.5)$$

This technique enables us to find the Seiberg–Witten form (8.1) of the rational elliptic surface S_9 given by a cubic pencil $P + tQ$.

Fixed Wilson lines In the remaining of this subsection, we take two models with fixed Wilson lines as a warming-up exercise.

Let us first take the $\mathbf{E}_8$ model the Wilson lines of which are $\nu_i = 0$ for all i . Thus we need a cubic $Q(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ which intersects with E_∞ nine times at $(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (0, 1, 0)$ corresponding to $\nu = 0$. The triple line $Q = x_0^3$ does the job, simply because $\nu = 0$ is one of the inflection points of E_∞ .

The resulting cubic pencil $P + tQ$ can easily be converted to the Weierstrass form in the original variables $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$:

$$(\bar{y})^2 = 4(\bar{x})^3 - f_0(\tau)u^4\bar{x} - (g_0(\tau)u^6 + u^5), \quad (9.6)$$

which is the Seiberg–Witten curve of the $\mathbf{E}_8$ model found in [12].

Next consider the model with the nine inflection points $\{\frac{1}{3}(a\tau + b) | a, b = 0, 1, 2\}$ as the base points of the cubic pencil. Note that these nine points sum up to zero.

We can find easily also in this case the cubic Q ; it is simply the Hessian of P :

$$\text{Hess}(P) = -8 \left(f_0(\tau)^2 x_0^3 + 36g_0(\tau)x_0^2 x_1 + 12f_0(\tau)x_0 x_1^2 - 12x_1 x_2^2 \right). \quad (9.7)$$

This follows from the fact that the four x coordinates $\{\wp(\tau | \frac{1}{3}(a\tau + b)) | (a, b) \neq (0, 0)\}$ are the roots of the equation: $4x^4 - 2f_0(\tau)x^2 - 4g_0(\tau)x - f_0(\tau)^2/12 = 0$.

The calculation of the basic algebraic invariants $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$ of the cubic $P + t\text{Hess}(P)$ yields the Weierstrass form (8.1) of the cubic pencil with

$$\begin{aligned} f(u; \tau) &= \frac{4}{3}\pi^4 \left(E_4(\tau)u^4 - 4E_6(\tau)u^3 + 6E_4(\tau)^2 u^2 - 4E_4E_6(\tau)u + 4E_6(\tau)^2 - 3E_4(\tau)^3 \right), \\ g(u; \tau) &= \frac{8}{27}\pi^6 \left(E_6(\tau)u^6 - 6E_4(\tau)^2 u^5 + 15E_4E_6(\tau)u^4 - 20E_6(\tau)^2 u^3 + 15E_4^2 E_6(\tau)u^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6E_4(2E_6^2 - 3E_4^3)(\tau)u + E_6(9E_4^3 - 8E_6^2)(\tau) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9.8)$$

Recall here that $f_0(\tau) = 4/3\pi^4 E_4(\tau)$, $g_0(\tau) = 8/27\pi^6 E_6(\tau)$.

We can see that the partition function of the singly-winding sector $Z_{g,1}(\tau)$ vanishes identically for this model due to the theta function formula [40, II, p.148], the physical meaning of which is yet to be clarified.

9.2 Several models with a few Wilson lines

Two Wilson lines The model with two Wilson lines, that is, $\mu = m_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + m_2 \mathbf{e}_2$ has been investigated in [27], where the Seiberg–Witten curve were found and the partition function $Z_{0,1}(\tau | m_i)$ has been derived directly from it.

We find the nine base points of the cubic pencil representing the rational elliptic surface to be $\{\nu_i\} = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, m_+, -m_+, m_-, -m_-\}$, where $m_{\pm} = (m_1 \pm m_2)/2$. The cubic Q which passes through these nine points can easily be identified. In fact, the nine

points are decomposed into the three triples $\{0, 0, 0\}$, $\{0, m_+, -m_+\}$, $\{0, m_-, -m_-\}$, each of which defines a line in $\mathbf{P}^2$:

$$L_0 = x_0, \quad L_+ = x_1 - \wp(\tau|m_+)x_0, \quad L_- = x_1 - \wp(\tau|m_-)x_0. \quad (9.9)$$

Thus the cubic Q is found to be $Q = x_0(x_1 - \wp(\tau|m_+)x_0)(x_1 - \wp(\tau|m_-)x_0)$.

Now calculation of the basic algebraic invariants of the ternary cubic $P + tQ$ tells us its Weierstrass form (8.1):

$$f(u; \tau, m_{\pm}) = f_0 u^4 - (\wp_+ + \wp_-)u^3 + \frac{1}{12}u^2, \quad (9.10)$$

$$g(u; \tau, m_{\pm}) = g_0 u^6 + (\wp_+ \wp_- + \frac{f_0}{12})u^5 - \frac{1}{12}(\wp_+ + \wp_-)u^4 + \frac{1}{216}u^3, \quad (9.11)$$

where $\wp_{\pm} = \wp(\tau|m_{\pm})$. Indeed, using the formula

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \wp(\tau|m) = -\frac{1}{3}(\vartheta_3(\tau)^4 + \vartheta_2(\tau)^4) + \vartheta_3(\tau)^2 \vartheta_2(\tau)^2 \left(\frac{\vartheta_4(\tau|m)}{\vartheta_1(\tau|m)} \right)^2,$$

and a rescaling (8.4), it can be shown that the above form coincides with the one in [27, (A.1)], which was obtained through a reasoning quite different from the one here, modulo some misprints.

Three Wilson lines Consider the model with the Wilson lines $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^3 m_i \mathbf{e}_i$, where m_i are chosen to be generic. A $W(\mathbf{E}_8)$ action simplifies the nine base points of the cubic pencil to be $\{\nu_i\} = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3\}$, where

$$(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) = \frac{1}{2}(m_1, m_2, m_3) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9.12)$$

We see immediately that $\{0, 0, 0\}$ determines the line $x_0 = 0$ and the other six points $\{0, 0, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3\}$ the conic of the form $C = a_0 x_0^2 + a_1 x_0 x_1 + a_2 x_0 x_2 + a_3 x_1^2$, the precise coefficients of which are determined by the formula

$$C(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \begin{vmatrix} x_0^2 & x_0 x_1 & x_0 x_2 & x_1^2 \\ 1 & \wp_1 & \wp'_1 & \wp_1^2 \\ 1 & \wp_2 & \wp'_2 & \wp_2^2 \\ 1 & \wp_3 & \wp'_3 & \wp_3^2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (9.13)$$

$$a_0 = \begin{vmatrix} \wp_1 & \wp'_1 & \wp_1^2 \\ \wp_2 & \wp'_2 & \wp_2^2 \\ \wp_3 & \wp'_3 & \wp_3^2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad a_1 = - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \wp'_1 & \wp_1^2 \\ 1 & \wp'_2 & \wp_2^2 \\ 1 & \wp'_3 & \wp_3^2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \wp_1 & \wp_1^2 \\ 1 & \wp_2 & \wp_2^2 \\ 1 & \wp_3 & \wp_3^2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad a_3 = - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \wp_1 & \wp'_1 \\ 1 & \wp_2 & \wp'_2 \\ 1 & \wp_3 & \wp'_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

where $\wp_i = \wp(\tau|\zeta_i)$, $\wp'_i = \wp'(\tau|\zeta_i)$.

The cubic passing through the nine points are determined to be

$$Q = x_0(a_0x_0^2 + a_1x_0x_1 + a_2x_0x_2 + a_3x_1^2)$$

and the Seiberg–Witten form (8.1) of it is written in terms of (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) as

$$f(u; \tau, m_i) = f_0u^4 + a_1u^3 + \frac{1}{12}a_3^2u^2, \quad (9.14)$$

$$g(u; \tau, m_i) = g_0u^6 + (a_0 + \frac{f_0}{12}a_3)u^5 + \frac{1}{12}(a_1a_3 - 3a_2^2)u^4 + \frac{1}{216}a_3^3u^3. \quad (9.15)$$

It is an amusing exercise to see that under the limit $\text{Im } \tau \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\wp(\tau|\zeta) \rightarrow -\frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi\zeta)}, \quad \wp'(\tau|\zeta) \rightarrow -\frac{2\pi^3 \cos(\pi\zeta)}{\sin^3(\pi\zeta)},$$

the curve above reduces to the trigonometric one with three Wilson lines [28, (2.8)].

Three Wilson lines II If we consider the model with $\mu = m_1(\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2) + m_2(\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_4) + m_3(\mathbf{e}_5 - \mathbf{e}_6)$, the nine base points of the cubic pencil are given by $\{0, 0, 0, \pm m_1, \pm m_2, \pm m_3\}$. As the line intersecting with E_∞ with the three points $\{0, \pm m_i\}$ is given by $x_1 - \wp_i x_0 = 0$, where we set $\wp_i := \wp(\tau|m_i)$, the cubic Q we need is found to be

$$Q = (x_1 - \wp_1 x_0)(x_1 - \wp_2 x_0)(x_1 - \wp_3 x_0). \quad (9.16)$$

The computation of the algebraic invariants of the cubic pencil $P + tQ$ yields the Weierstrass form (8.1) with

$$f(u; \tau, m_i) = f_0u^4 + \frac{1}{4}(4\sigma_2 - f_0)u^3 + \frac{1}{12}(\sigma_1^2 - 3\sigma_2)u^2, \quad (9.17)$$

$$g(u; \tau, m_i) = g_0u^6 - \frac{1}{12}(f_0\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)u^5 + \frac{1}{48}(3g_0 + f_0\sigma_1 - 4\sigma_1\sigma_2)u^4 - \frac{1}{432}(2\sigma_1^3 - 9\sigma_1\sigma_2 + 27\sigma_3)u^3, \quad (9.18)$$

where $\sigma_1 = \wp_1 + \wp_2 + \wp_3$, $\sigma_2 = \wp_1\wp_2 + \wp_2\wp_3 + \wp_3\wp_1$, and $\sigma_3 = \wp_1\wp_2\wp_3$.

Four Wilson lines Let us consider the model with the Wilson lines given in the Euclidean coordinates $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i \mathbf{e}_i$, where any of m_i or $m_i - m_j$ is non-zero. After a suitable $W(\mathbf{E}_8)$ action, the nine base points of the cubic pencil become $\{\nu_i\} = \{-2\zeta_0, \zeta_0, \zeta_0, \zeta_0, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4\}$, where

$$(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) = \frac{1}{6}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9.19)$$

The first three points $\{-2\zeta_0, \zeta_0, \zeta_0\}$ defines a line L tangent to the elliptic curve E_∞ , while the remaining six a conic C . If we set $\wp_i = \wp(\tau|\zeta_i)$, $\wp'_i = \wp'(\tau|\zeta_i)$, $\wp_{00} = \wp(\tau|2\zeta_0)$, $\wp'_{00} = -\wp'(\tau|2\zeta_0)$, they are given by

$$L(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \begin{vmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 1 & \wp_0 & \wp'_0 \\ 1 & \wp_{00} & \wp'_{00} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (9.20)$$

$$C(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \begin{vmatrix} x_0^2 & x_0x_1 & x_0x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ 1 & \wp_0 & \wp'_0 & \wp_0^2 & \wp_0\wp'_0 & (\wp'_0)^2 \\ 1 & \wp_1 & \wp'_1 & \wp_1^2 & \wp_1\wp'_1 & (\wp'_1)^2 \\ 1 & \wp_2 & \wp'_2 & \wp_2^2 & \wp_2\wp'_2 & (\wp'_2)^2 \\ 1 & \wp_3 & \wp'_3 & \wp_3^2 & \wp_3\wp'_3 & (\wp'_3)^2 \\ 1 & \wp_4 & \wp'_4 & \wp_4^2 & \wp_4\wp'_4 & (\wp'_4)^2 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (9.21)$$

The cubic in question is found to be $Q = L \cdot C$. However, the Weierstrass form of the cubic pencil $P + tQ$ is so complicated that we do not attempt to describe it here.

Four Wilson lines II Finally, let us take the model with $\{\nu_i\} = \{0, \pm\zeta_1, \pm\zeta_2, \pm\zeta_3, \pm\zeta_4\}$. We thus need to find a cubic Q which passes through the eight points $(1, \pm\wp_i, \wp'_i)$, where $\wp_i = \wp(\tau|\zeta_i)$, $\wp'_i = \wp'(\tau|\zeta_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, as well as $(0, 0, 1)$.

We find that such a cubic Q is given by

$$Q = (g_0 - f_0\sigma_1 - 4\sigma_3)x_0^2x_1 + (f_0 + 4\sigma_2)x_0x_1^2 - \sigma_1x_0x_2^2 + x_1x_2^2 + (4\sigma_4 - g_0\sigma_1)x_0^3,$$

where σ_i is the i th basic symmetric polynomial of $\wp_i$ s. In fact we can see that

$$Q(1, x, y) = 4(x - \wp_1)(x - \wp_2)(x - \wp_3)(x - \wp_4),$$

if $y^2 = 4x^3 - f_0x - g_0$, that is, if we restrict Q on E_∞ . Nevertheless, Q itself is an irreducible cubic in this case.

Acknowledgements.

I benefitted from attending the workshop “Periods Associated to Rational Elliptic Surfaces and Elliptic Lie Algebras” held at International Christian University in June 2001. I wish to express my gratitude to many participants both of the workshop and of my informal talks at Nagoya University for useful comments. Among them are Profs. H. Kanno, A. Kato, S. Kondo, H. Ohta, Y. Shimizu, A. Tsuchiya, and Y. Yamada. I would also like to thank Dr. Y. Ohtake for helpful discussions. My special thanks are due to the late Prof. S.-K. Yang for valuable advice. This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area 707 “Supersymmetry and Unified Theory of Elementary Particles”, Japan Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, Culture and Technology.

Appendix A

In this appendix we present for completeness the explicit form of the generators $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ of the algebraic invariants of the ternary cubic form R (9.3).

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} = & -a_{300}a_{120}a_{012}^2 - 2a_{210}a_{012}a_{111}^2 + a_{300}a_{030}a_{102}a_{012} + a_{300}a_{003}a_{120}a_{021} \\
& + a_{030}a_{003}a_{210}a_{201} - a_{210}a_{120}a_{102}a_{012} - a_{210}a_{201}a_{012}a_{021} - a_{120}a_{201}a_{102}a_{021} \\
& - a_{030}a_{201}^2a_{012} - a_{003}a_{120}^2a_{201} - a_{300}a_{102}a_{021}^2 + a_{210}^2a_{012}^2 - a_{030}a_{210}a_{102}^2 \\
& - a_{003}a_{210}^2a_{021} + a_{120}^2a_{102}^2 + a_{201}^2a_{021}^2 - 2a_{120}a_{102}a_{111}^2 + a_{111}^4 \\
& - a_{300}a_{030}a_{003}a_{111} - 2a_{201}a_{021}a_{111}^2 + a_{300}a_{012}a_{021}a_{111} + a_{030}a_{201}a_{102}a_{111} \\
& + a_{003}a_{210}a_{120}a_{111} + 3a_{210}a_{102}a_{021}a_{111} + 3a_{120}a_{201}a_{012}a_{111}, \tag{A.1} \\
\mathcal{T} = & -3a_{012}^2a_{300}^2a_{021}^2 - 24a_{111}^2a_{201}^2a_{021}^2 + 24a_{120}a_{111}^4a_{102} + 4a_{120}^3a_{300}a_{003}^2 \\
& - 3a_{120}^2a_{210}^2a_{003}^2 - 27a_{210}^2a_{102}^2a_{021}^2 + 4a_{201}^3a_{030}^2a_{003} - 24a_{012}^2a_{210}^2a_{111}^2 \\
& + 24a_{012}a_{210}a_{111}^4 - 24a_{120}^2a_{102}^2a_{111}^2 + 4a_{300}^2a_{021}^3a_{003} + a_{300}^2a_{030}^2a_{003}^2 \\
& + 24a_{111}^4a_{201}a_{021} - 12a_{120}^2a_{300}a_{003}a_{102}a_{021} + 4a_{012}^3a_{300}^2a_{030} - 27a_{012}^2a_{120}^2a_{201}^2 \\
& - 3a_{201}^2a_{030}^2a_{102}^2 + 8a_{120}^3a_{102}^3 + 8a_{012}^3a_{210}^3 + 24a_{210}^2a_{003}a_{201}a_{021}^2 \\
& + 4a_{300}a_{030}^2a_{102}^3 + 12a_{210}^2a_{111}^2a_{021}a_{003} + 12a_{210}a_{111}^2a_{102}^2a_{030} - 36a_{210}a_{111}^3a_{021}a_{102}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 8 a_{201}^3 a_{021}^3 - 8 a_{111}^6 + 4 a_{210}^3 a_{003}^2 a_{030} - 24 a_{300} a_{030} a_{102}^2 a_{111} a_{021} \\
& + 12 a_{201}^2 a_{030} a_{102} a_{111} a_{021} - 12 a_{120} a_{210} a_{102}^3 a_{030} - 12 a_{120} a_{210} a_{111}^3 a_{003} \\
& + 24 a_{120} a_{300} a_{102}^2 a_{021}^2 - 12 a_{300} a_{201} a_{021}^3 a_{102} - 12 a_{102} a_{201} a_{111}^3 a_{030} \\
& - 24 a_{210}^2 a_{003} a_{102} a_{111} a_{030} - 24 a_{300} a_{210} a_{021}^2 a_{111} a_{003} - 20 a_{300} a_{111}^3 a_{030} a_{003} \\
& - 12 a_{201}^2 a_{030} a_{210} a_{021} a_{003} + 36 a_{210} a_{111}^2 a_{201} a_{030} a_{003} + 36 a_{210} a_{102} a_{111} a_{021}^2 a_{201} \\
& + 6 a_{300} a_{030} a_{102} a_{210} a_{021} a_{003} - 6 a_{300} a_{030}^2 a_{102} a_{201} a_{003} + 6 a_{210} a_{201} a_{021} a_{102}^2 a_{030} \\
& + 12 a_{300} a_{021}^2 a_{111}^2 a_{102} + 12 a_{300} a_{201} a_{030} a_{003} a_{111} a_{021} + 12 a_{012} a_{300} a_{210} a_{030} a_{111} a_{003} \\
& + 6 a_{012} a_{300} a_{210} a_{021}^2 a_{102} + 12 a_{012} a_{120} a_{210}^2 a_{111} a_{003} + 6 a_{012} a_{120} a_{201}^2 a_{030} a_{102} \\
& + 18 a_{012} a_{300} a_{030} a_{102} a_{201} a_{021} + 6 a_{012} a_{120} a_{300} a_{201} a_{030} a_{003} - 12 a_{012} a_{120} a_{300} a_{030} a_{102}^2 \\
& - 12 a_{012} a_{120} a_{210} a_{111}^2 a_{102} + 36 a_{012} a_{120} a_{201}^2 a_{021} a_{111} + 36 a_{012} a_{120}^2 a_{111} a_{102} a_{201} \\
& - 24 a_{012} a_{120}^2 a_{300} a_{111} a_{003} + 6 a_{012} a_{120}^2 a_{210} a_{201} a_{003} + 18 a_{012} a_{120} a_{300} a_{210} a_{021} a_{003} \\
& - 60 a_{012} a_{120} a_{300} a_{111} a_{021} a_{102} + 6 a_{012}^2 a_{120} a_{300} a_{201} a_{021} - 24 a_{012}^2 a_{300} a_{201} a_{111} a_{030} \\
& - 6 a_{012} a_{120} a_{210} a_{102} a_{201} a_{021} - 12 a_{012} a_{210} a_{111}^2 a_{201} a_{021} + 36 a_{012} a_{300} a_{030} a_{102} a_{111}^2 \\
& + 36 a_{012}^2 a_{120} a_{210} a_{111} a_{201} - 12 a_{012}^2 a_{300} a_{030} a_{102} a_{210} + 12 a_{012}^2 a_{300} a_{111} a_{021} a_{210} \\
& - 24 a_{120} a_{201}^2 a_{030} a_{111} a_{003} - 12 a_{120} a_{102} a_{201}^2 a_{021}^2 + 36 a_{120} a_{210} a_{102}^2 a_{111} a_{021} \\
& + 6 a_{120} a_{210}^2 a_{003} a_{102} a_{021} - 60 a_{012} a_{102} a_{201} a_{111} a_{030} a_{210} + 36 a_{012} a_{210}^2 a_{111} a_{021} a_{102} \\
& + 12 a_{120} a_{300} a_{030} a_{102} a_{111} a_{003} - 60 a_{120} a_{210} a_{201} a_{021} a_{111} a_{003} + 18 a_{120} a_{210} a_{102} a_{201} a_{030} a_{003} \\
& - 12 a_{120} a_{102} a_{111}^2 a_{201} a_{021} + 12 a_{120} a_{201} a_{111} a_{030} a_{102}^2 - 12 a_{120}^3 a_{102} a_{201} a_{003} \\
& - 12 a_{120} a_{300} a_{003} a_{201} a_{021}^2 + 36 a_{120} a_{300} a_{111}^2 a_{021} a_{003} - 6 a_{120} a_{300} a_{003}^2 a_{210} a_{030} \\
& - 12 a_{120}^2 a_{102}^2 a_{201} a_{021} + 24 a_{120}^2 a_{201}^2 a_{021} a_{003} + 12 a_{120}^2 a_{111}^2 a_{201} a_{003} \\
& + 12 a_{012} a_{201}^2 a_{030} a_{111}^2 - 12 a_{012} a_{300} a_{111}^3 a_{021} - 12 a_{012} a_{120}^2 a_{210} a_{102}^2 - 12 a_{012} a_{201}^3 a_{030} a_{021} \\
& - 12 a_{012} a_{210}^2 a_{201}^2 a_{021}^2 - 36 a_{012} a_{120} a_{111}^3 a_{201} - 12 a_{012} a_{210}^3 a_{021} a_{003} + 24 a_{012} a_{210}^2 a_{102}^2 a_{030} \\
& - 12 a_{012}^3 a_{300} a_{210} a_{120} + 24 a_{012}^2 a_{120}^2 a_{300} a_{102} - 12 a_{012}^2 a_{120} a_{210}^2 a_{102} + 12 a_{012}^2 a_{120} a_{300} a_{111}^2 \\
& - 12 a_{012}^2 a_{210}^2 a_{201} a_{021} + 24 a_{012}^2 a_{201}^2 a_{030} a_{210} + 12 a_{120}^2 a_{210} a_{102} a_{111} a_{003} \\
& - 12 a_{012} a_{210}^2 a_{201} a_{030} a_{003} + 12 a_{012} a_{300} a_{201} a_{021}^2 a_{111} - 6 a_{012} a_{300}^2 a_{030} a_{021} a_{003}. \tag{A.2}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] G. Aldazabal, A. Font, L. E. Ibáñez and A. M. Uranga, New Branches of String Compactifications and their F-Theory Duals, Nucl. Phys. **B492** (1996) 119–151, hep-th/9607121.
- [2] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Holomorphic Anomalies in Topological Field Theories, Nucl. Phys. **B405** (1993) 279–304, hep-th/9302103.
- [3] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Kodaira–Spencer Theory of Gravity and Exact Results for Quantum String Amplitudes, Commun. Math. Phys. **165** (1994) 311–427, hep-th/9309140.
- [4] J. Bryan and R. Pandharipande, BPS States of Curves in Calabi–Yau 3-Folds, Geometry and Topology **5** (2001) 287–318, math.AG/0009025.
- [5] P. Candelas, X. C. de la Ossa, P. S. Green and L. Parkes, A Pair of Calabi–Yau Manifolds as an Exactly Soluble Superconformal Theory, Nucl. Phys. **B359** (1991) 21–74.
- [6] T.-M. Chiang, A. Klemm, S.-T. Yau and E. Zaslow, Local Mirror Symmetry: Calculations and Interpretations, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1999) 495–565, hep-th/9903053.
- [7] G. Curio and D. Lüst, A Class of $N=1$ Dual String Pairs and Its Modular Superpotentials, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997) 5847–5866, hep-th/9703007.
- [8] O. DeWolfe, T. Hauer, A. Iqbal and B. Zwiebach, Uncovering Infinite Symmetries on $[p, q]$ 7-Branes: Kac–Moody Algebras and Beyond, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1999) 1835–1891, hep-th/9812209.
- [9] M. Fukae, Y. Yamada and S.-K. Yang, Mordell–Weil Lattice via String Junctions, Nucl. Phys. **B572** (2000) 71–94, hep-th/9909122.
- [10] O. J. Ganor, Toroidal Compactification of Heterotic 6D Non-Critical Strings down to Four Dimensions, Nucl. Phys. **B488** (1997) 223–235, hep-th/9608109.

- [11] O. J. Ganor and A. Hanany, Small E_8 Instantons and Tensionless Non-Critical Strings, Nucl. Phys. **B474** (1996) 122–138, hep-th/9602120.
- [12] O. J. Ganor, D. R. Morrison and N. Seiberg, Branes, Calabi–Yau Spaces, and Toroidal Compactification of the $N=1$ Six-Dimensional E_8 Theory, Nucl. Phys. **B487** (1997) 93–127, hep-th/9610251.
- [13] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, M-Theory and Topological Strings–II, hep-th/9812127.
- [14] T. Hauer and A. Iqbal, Del Pezzo Surfaces and Affine 7-Brane Backgrounds, J. High Energy Phys. **0001** (2000) 043, hep-th/9910054.
- [15] D. Hilbert, *Theory of Algebraic Invariants*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.
- [16] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen and S.-T. Yau, Mirror Symmetry, Mirror Map and Applications to Complete Intersection Calabi–Yau Spaces, Nucl. Phys. **B433** (1995) 501–552, hep-th/9406055.
- [17] S. Hosono, M.-H. Saito and J. Stienstra, On the Mirror Symmetry Conjecture for Schoen’s Calabi–Yau 3-Folds, in *Integrable System and Algebraic Geometry, Proc. Taniguchi Symposium 1997*, M.-H. Saito, Y. Shimizu and K. Ueno (eds.), World Scientific 1998, 194–235, alg-geom/9709027.
- [18] S. Hosono, M.-H. Saito and A. Takahashi, Holomorphic Anomaly Equation and BPS State Counting of Rational Elliptic Surface, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1999) 177–208, hep-th/9901151.
- [19] S. Hosono, M.-H. Saito and A. Takahashi, Relative Lefschetz Action and BPS State Counting, Int. Math. Res. Notice. **15** (2001) 783–816, math.AG/0105148.
- [20] A. Hurwitz und R. Courant, *Vorlesungen über Allgemeine Funktionentheorie und Elliptische Funktionen*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. **3**, Springer-Verlag, 1929.

- [21] S. Katz, A. Klemm and C. Vafa, M-Theory, Topological Strings and Spinning Black Holes, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **3** (1999) 1445–1537, hep-th/9910181.
- [22] T. Kawai and K. Yoshioka, String Partition Functions and Infinite Products, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **4** (2000) 397–485, hep-th/0002169.
- [23] A. Klemm, P. Mayr and C. Vafa, BPS States of Exceptional Non-Critical Strings, *Nucl. Phys. B* (Proc. Suppl.) **58** (1997) 177–194, hep-th/9607139.
- [24] W. Lerche, P. Mayr and N. P. Warner, Non-Critical Strings, del Pezzo Singularities and Seiberg–Witten Curves, *Nucl. Phys.* **B499** (1997) 125–148, hep-th/9612085.
- [25] B. H. Lian and S.-T. Yau, Arithmetic Properties of Mirror Map and Quantum Coupling, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **176** (1996) 163–191, hep-th/9411234.
- [26] E. Looijenga, Rational Surfaces with an Anti-Canonical Cycle, *Ann. Math.* **114** (1981) 267–322.
- [27] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, C. Vafa and N. P. Warner, E-Strings and $N = 4$ Topological Yang–Mills Theories, *Nucl. Phys.* **B527** (1998) 581–623, hep-th/9802168.
- [28] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky and N. P. Warner, Investigating the BPS Spectrum of Non-Critical E_n Strings, *Nucl. Phys.* **B508** (1997) 64–106, hep-th/9705237.
- [29] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky and N. P. Warner, Partition Functions for BPS States of the Non-Critical E_8 String, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **1** (1998) 167–183, hep-th/9707149.
- [30] R. Miranda and U. Persson, On Extremal Rational Elliptic Surfaces, *Math. Z.* **193** (1986) 537–558.
- [31] K. Mohri, Y. Ohtake and S.-K. Yang, Dualities between String Junctions and D-Branes on del Pezzo Surfaces, *Nucl. Phys.* **B595** (2001) 138–164, hep-th/0007243.
- [32] K. Mohri, Y. Onjo and S.-K. Yang, Closed Sub-Monodromy Problems, Local Mirror Symmetry and Branes on Orbifolds, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13** No. 6 (2001) 675–715, hep-th/0009072.

- [33] K. Saito, Extended Affine Root Systems II (Flat Invariants), Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **26** (1990) 15–78.
- [34] H. Sakai, Rational Surfaces with Affine Root Systems and Geometry of the Painlevé Equations, Commun. Math. Phys. **220** (2001) 165–221.
- [35] I. Satake, Automorphisms of the Extended Affine Root Systems and Modular Property for the Flat Theta Invariants, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **31** (1995) 1–32.
- [36] A. N. Schellekens and N. P. Warner, Anomalies, Characters and Strings, Nucl. Phys. **B287** (1987) 317–361.
- [37] B. Schoeneberg, *Elliptic Modular Functions: An Introduction*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. **203**, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [38] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Monopoles, Duality and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in $N=2$ Supersymmetric QCD, Nucl. Phys. **B431** (1994) 484–550, hep-th/9408099.
- [39] B. Sturmfels, *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*, Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation, Springer, 1993.
- [40] J. Tannery et J. Molk, *Éléments de la Théorie des Fonctions Elliptiques*, Tomes I–IV, Gauthier-Villars, 1893–1902; reprinted in two vols. Chelsea, New York, 1972.
- [41] K. Wirthmüller, Root Systems and Jacobi Forms, Compositio Math. **82** (1992) 293–354.